





# Challenges of Hydrological Research in a developing country

Geoff Pegram 6 March 2012

# Why is this Meeting important?

Why is this Meeting important? Good data are becoming scarce and costly

#### Why is this Meeting important? Good data are becoming scarce and costly

- 1. Risk of Flood & Famine require planning
- 2. Impossible to go back in time to 're-gauge'
- 3. Our models depend on data, not just imagination
- 4. With a changing climate we cannot depend only on past data, it has to be current

Therefore, we must continue monitoring the forcing variables

Some of our products

- PEGRAIN daily rainfall network model for DWA
- Soil Moisture & Evapotranspiration: K5/2024
- SA Flash Flood Guidance: K5/2068

#### &

 Possible future daily rainfall on networks: K5/1984

#### What data do I need?

- Daily raingauge network
- Streamflow hydrograph (continuous)

These are real data and are the most difficult to get hold of consistently

#### What data do I need?

- Daily raingauge network
- Streamflow hydrograph (continuous)
- Topographical, vegetation, soil properties
- Regional Circulation Model rain & pressure
- 3-hour TRMM real time
- Ingredients for ET: wind speed, temperature, humidity, radiation
- Remote sensing estimates for validation of SM products – probes are not yet deployed

Estimating Soil Moisture & ETa

There are 3 ways I know of estimating Soil Moisture:

Direct sampling (probes, grab samples)
Remote sensing (ERS, ASCAT, SMOS)

Physical modelling (hydrological and meteorological)

#### Data streams to model SSI & ETa



#### How we get ETa hence SSI

at 3-hour intervals

here shown as over a day



#### Product: Agric. Res. Council Umlindi



How good are these products?

- We need to validate
- Or at least perform model inter-comparison (done)
- We need data to do the first

#### Where does that leave us?

- The 150 Hydra probes bought by SAWS [2006!] for SM ground-truthing are still in a shed ...
- Our pricey SAWS raingauge network is *dying*, shall we rely on remote sensing?
- If so, we desperately need to soon get TRMM corrected, specially while we still have gauges
- We need to be able to validate our Hydrological models – when will DWA repair their data portals? [I can't get S/F data]

# Global Mean Monthly rainfall Differences: TRMM ~ Gauges



trmm.chpc.utah.edu

#### Ground "Truthing" over Africa: 1° scale



FIG. 1. GPCC rain gauge distribution over Africa (Jan 2000). The dots represent grid cells in which at least one rain gauge is present in a  $1.0^{\circ}$  lat  $\times 1.0^{\circ}$  lon box.

**NOAA - Global Precipitiation Climatology Centre** 

# Correcting SSI from (i) raw TRMM to (ii)conditioned with DWA daily gauges

Mean  $\Delta_{SSI}$  - Region pooled



#### What about the future?

- Let's look at the rainfall in the Cape in 2 periods:
- Jan 1990 to July 2000 [Lynch data-base]
- Aug 2000 to April 2008 [SAWS from UCT]

#### The MAP map & 5 selected regions



# The Cape region – SAWS 0.5° blocks

0043	0044	0045	0046	0047	0048	0049
-0023	0024	0025	0026			
0007	0008	0009	0010			

# Gauge sites 1990 to 2000



# Gauge sites 2000 to 2008



# Gauge sites 2000 to 2008



Survivors – the Cape lost 13 out of 53 – over all 5 regions 36%

OK we have some gauge data

What about appropriate RCM data?

- We obtained some PRECIS data from UCT's CSAG
- Let's compare it with gauges

# Compare Rainfall Time Series



#### Compare ranked frequency distributions



#### Compare ranked frequency distributions



#### PRECIS 'data' – currently 1990 – 2008 both daily Pressure and Rainfall



#### RCM CPs optimised on Cape Wetness

0.90

0.75

0.50

0.25

-0.10

0.25

-0.50

-0.75

-0.90

1.00 0.90

0.75

0.50

0.25

0.10

-0.10

-0.25

-0.50

-0.75

-0.90

0.90

0.75

0.50

0.25

0.10

-0.10

-0.25

-0.50

-0.75

-0.90

700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP01

) 75

0.50

0.25

0.10 -0.10

0.25

-0.50

-0.75

-0.90

1.00

1.00

75

0.25

0.10

-0.10

-0.25

-0.50

-0.75

-0.90

1 00

0.90

0.75

0.50

0.25

0.10

-0.10

-0.25

-0.50

-0.75

-0.90



700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CPO2

700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP04



700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP07



700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP05



700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP08



700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP03



700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP06



700 HPa geopotential height anomalies - CP09



# Compare RCM & Gauge in 2 periods

Observations = Gauge Block Averages; Simulations = RCM Modelling









Conclusion

Modelling without data for validation is phantasy and a self congratulatory exercise therefore

We need free, good and readily available DATA to realistically plan our Water Resources Future

For RSA, it is asking too high a price to ask us to pay for limited access to diminishing resources Please fix it

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)