July 2011
The WRC operates in terms of the Water Research
Act (Act 34 of 1971) and its mandate is to support
water research and development as well as the
building of a sustainable water research capacity
in South Africa.

POLICY BRIEF

### **HEALTH AND WATER**

Towards improved management of oestrogen activity in treated drinking water

# This study investigated the feasibility of using a health risk assessment framework to derive guidelines for oestrogen activity in treated drinking water.

# Framework for deriving oestrogen activity guidelines – A product of EDC research

Globally, endocrine disrupting chemical (EDC) research has been ongoing for the last 20 years. In South Africa, the Water Research Commission (WRC) has maintained a focus on endocrine disrupting compounds in water since 1998 and has also been a partner in several global research initiatives relating to this topic.

Most of the local research done to date has focused on the oestrogenic effects (impacting on the reproductive system) of EDCs in the environment, with EDC effects on the immune system and thyroid function having received only limited attention. Oestrogenic activity has been found to be present to varying degrees in both raw and treated waters. There is international agreement that precautionary action should be taken in addressing this issue. Currently, the levels at which endocrine disruptors adversely affect health are somewhat uncertain, despite indications that adverse effects do in fact occur

Previous WRC-sponsored research resulted in a proposed framework for dealing with EDCs and protecting human health, pending the derivation of more inclusive guidelines for drinking water provision in South Africa. The proposed framework recommends a precautionary, risk-based, tiered approach, whereby trigger values for oestrogen activity are derived and screening for active compounds in the water environment takes precedence over testing for specific target chemicals. Any indication of oestrogen activity in excess of the recommended trigger value would necessitate further investigation and testing of the water in question.

The following questions have subsequently arisen:

- How feasible is it to implement such a health risk assessment framework in deriving guidelines for EDCs in South African treated drinking water?
- Are the tools and necessary organisational structures available for carrying out tests that would reveal the exceedance of trigger values and consequently enable tiered testing of water samples to take place as needed?

### Feasibility of implementing the proposed framework in deriving EDC guidelines for SA drinking water

### The trigger value

The proposed framework makes use of an oestrogenic activity trigger value, with such activity being determined by means of bio-assays. Oestrogenic activity in water is measured in terms of oestradiol equivalents (EEQ) per litre (ng/ $\ell$ ). Oestradiol is the most potent of compounds in terms of oestrogenic activity and also the standard against which oestrogenic activity of all other compounds is measured. The trigger value of 0.7 ng EEQ/ $\ell$  is based on the World Health Organisation's value for an acceptable daily oestradiol equivalent intake of 50 ng/kg of body mass, and also makes allowance for (i) the fact that exposure through water intake accounts for only about 10% of the total exposure to oestrogenic activity, (ii) a safety factor of 1 000 and (iii) average values of body mass and daily water intake.

#### Work-shopping the trigger-value approach

Potential problems with the trigger value and its use, which, if not addressed, could impact on the feasibility of implementing the proposed framework, were examined during the course of workshops held to share current knowledge

### WATER

### **HEALTH AND WATER**

regarding EDCs and ideas for dealing with them in the context of managing drinking water quality and protecting human health. At these workshops, scientists discussed the difficulties in detecting EDCs, whilst government representatives concerned with water quality management raised issues regarding the development of water quality guidelines. A central focus was on how current knowledge could facilitate the use of the oestrogenic activity trigger value as an interim EDC-related water quality guideline and early warning mechanism.

### Oestrogenic activity in relation to the trigger value

A variety of environmental and drinking waters were subsequently tested for oestrogenic activity to assess whether the proposed trigger value was realistic and useful in practice. River waters generally had oestrogenic activities above the trigger value of 0.7 ng EEQ/ $\ell$ . Most drinking waters originating from conventional treatment systems or from taps had activities below 0.7 ng EEQ/ $\ell$ . The trigger value was exceeded in many cases where samples were taken from water stored for consumption in plastic drums. This is not surprising, as the bio-assays are highly sensitive and the sampling procedures generally exclude water in contact with plastic.

On the whole, bio-assay results were within anticipated ranges, indicating that use of the South African framework, based on a trigger value for oestrogenic activity, is indeed feasible. Instances of the trigger value being exceeded do not necessarily indicate that endocrine disrupting effects will follow, as the trigger value is very conservative and its use highly precautionary. On occasions when the trigger value is exceeded, additional situational analysis might explain the possible source of the oestrogenic activity. If this is not possible, then targeted chemical analyses should be conducted to determine the source and, consequently, the need for further intervention.

## Testing procedures for inclusion in the proposed framework for EDCs in water

Bio-assays are becoming increasingly popular as screening tools because the specific chemical nature of an environmental sample is not always known. In the case of EDCs, bio-assays currently measure total oestrogenic and androgenic activity resulting from all the endocrine disrupting chemicals present in a water body. No single assay can

accurately predict the total oestrogenic activity of complex samples. A recommendation for a suite of suitable and reliable methods, including both *in vitro* and *in vivo* bioassays, still needs to be developed. International and local research on the compilation of a toolbox of bio-assays for detection of oestrogenic activity in water has produced some results but research remains ongoing.

Some of the techniques included in the toolbox's battery of tests are sufficiently advanced and can be used as a costeffective, first-pass detection system. Together with other standard analytical methods, they can be used to measure oestrogenic pollutants in environmental waters. A major shortcoming, however, is the lack of standardisation with regard to data analysis or interpretation. Standardisation has been identified as a crucial step towards more accurate, bioassay-derived, measurements of oestrogenicity. Currently, other shortcomings with regard to the use of bio-assays relate to cost (about R2 000 per sample), time (one technician is capable of processing no more than four samples per week) and limited capacity (only two local university laboratories are set up to conduct bio-assays routinely and only a few experienced individuals are capable of carrying out these tests).

In measuring oestrogenic activity, the focus is mainly on potential reproductive effects of EDCs in water. Other possible effects, such as immunological, neurological, cognitive and metabolic effects, would require different assessment tools and measurements

Internationally, tests for thyroid activity are currently being developed along a path similar to that followed in arriving at the battery of tests now available for assessing oestrogenic activity.

### **Policy recommendations**

### Feasibility of framework implementation

The use of the proposed South African framework to assess endocrine disrupting activity in water relative to a trigger value has been shown to be feasible and its implementation is therefore recommended. In cases of the trigger value being exceeded, the possible cause or source of the oestrogenicity would need to be identified by a multi-disciplinary team, given that possible sources of oestrogenic activity include industry, agriculture, waste streams, etc. Follow-up sampling and analysis would then be needed to identify the specific chemicals responsible for the oestrogenic activity before remedial action could be instituted.

### **HEALTH AND WATER**



### Support for ongoing research

Despite the considerable progress already made, South Africa needs to continue to build its capacity to identify and develop bio-assays for the more comprehensive assessment of oestrogenic activity in drinking waters. Research is needed to reduce the cost and duration of bio-assays, whilst efforts to develop standard operating procedures for the current set of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays should be intensified. Welcome steps in the direction of standardisation are currently being taken through the researching and compilation of a series of guideline documents that address issues of EDCs in SA's water resources. Capacity now also needs to be extended in a direction that would allow EDC effects on thyroid function, immune-suppression and neuro-development, in addition to those on the reproductive system, to be studied.

#### The role of water treatment works

The important role of water treatment works in controlling EDC pollution needs to be understood and optimised. It would seem that a properly functioning water treatment works removes most of the EDCs – an inference supported by the finding that most treated drinking waters contain less than the recommended trigger value for oestrogenic activity and would therefore not require additional, specific investigation. This is fortunate, since most drinking water treatment

facilities would not have the capacity and finances to test for oestrogenic or other endocrine activity.

The way forward should be to compile a database containing information on the size, functioning, and treatment processes utilised at each of the water treatment facilities and relate such information to information on local conditions in order to assess potential human health risks arising from endocrine disrupting substances that are produced locally (e.g. by industry or agriculture) and cannot be removed effectively by current water treatment processes. Information on the hormone removal efficiencies of water treatment works throughout the country could be acquired through the use of a simple enzyme-linked immune-sorbent screening assay (ELISA) for the standard hormone oestrone. This risk assessment approach might be more feasible and cost-effective than an approach which requires each of the treatment facilities to test its own waters for oestrogenic activity.

#### Further reading:

To obtain the report, *The Feasibility of a Health Risk Assessment Framework to Derive Guidelines for Oestrogen Activity in Treated Drinking Water* (**Report No:1749/1/09**) contact Publications at Tel: (012) 330-0340; Fax: (012) 331-2565; E-mail: <a href="orders@wrc.org.za">orders@wrc.org.za</a>; or Visit: <a href="www.wrc.org.za">www.wrc.org.za</a>



### **HEALTH AND WATER**

4