Rainwater Harvesting for Domestic Use:

a social perspective



Prof J. Goldin 21 November 2013, Pretoria jgoldin@uwc.ac.za











Where the people are

- William Sutton robbed banks because that is where the money is
- We need to be down on the ground because that is where the people are















Understanding people

- Over the past decades since the 90's (Rio Summit and the famous – or is it infamous – principles)
- Lowest level
- We need systematic understanding of people
- But how do we do it its easier said than done











Plato's Meno

- The paradox of inquiry:

 if you don't have any idea what you're looking for your won't ever find it
- Story telling is never neutral and the narrator always directs attention to features of the world rather than to others











Participation – meanings and interpretations

Participation has come to mean whatever people want it to mean

The term disguises very different approaches, founding principles and values











People-centric

"The essence of participation is exercising voice and choice and developing the human, organisational and management capacity to solve problems as they arise …" (Saxena 1998)











"For participatory development practitioners, a primary aim is to transform conventional development into a process of engagement with and by local people, rather than to use expert knowledge to dictate interventions" (Cornwall 2000)











We want to know · · ·

- What do people do?
- How much do people do?
- How often do they do this?
- When do they it?
- Where do they do it?
- Why do they do it?
- Who do they do it with?
- What are the costs or consumption implications?
- What is required to do things differently?











Conversion factor

- We ask ourselves how have the tanks helped convert into something useful
- Not just the potential for new water
- But the potential to exercise choices that improve life
- So must be part of the decision-making
- Otherwise choice highjacked











Diversity

Acknowledges diversity by acknowledging the conversion factor – as this can differ between people

Some of the differences may be individuals whereas others will be structural differences in society – related to gender, class, race, case and so on











Gender lens

 Application of a lens which considers the different ways in which men and women engage with DRWH











A new approach

 Amartya Sen's capability approach has generated remarkable interest over the past decade. His Tanner Lecture 'Equality of What' (1980) set out a broad agenda for debate and further research











CA reminds us

- Robust social theory that hones in on the individual
- Conversion factor looks at social, environmental and personal factors
- Material goods are instrumental in getting a 'good life' but opportunities and choices (capabilities) are intrinsically valuable











Tanks just one aspect

- Of course, then, if there are no other options the DRWH becomes the one way to achieve valued well-beings (getting water for productive use or domestic) but that is not all that matters
- What matters is to achieve valued well-being such as dignity, self-esteem, ability to make choices, to be respected – having trust between government and society and amongst social groups











$\mathsf{W}^3\mathsf{h}$

- It's the process of bringing DRWH that is being considered
 - what you do
 - with whom you do it
 - when you do it
 - how you do it











Evaluative framework

- Achievements in the human dimension
- Not a means to an end (getting water)
- But an end in itself (improving capabilities choices/opportunities) for people











Why me factor

- Feelings of discrimination or advantage
- Opportunity and choice plays a huge role here

























Thank you









