

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	xiii
GLOSSARY	xv
PART I : INTRODUCTION	
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Objectives.....	1
1.3 Report Layout.....	2
PART II : ECOLOGICAL CONTEXT	
2. PATTERNS AND PROCESSES IN THE RIVER LANDSCAPE	4
2.1 Introduction.....	4
2.2 Broad-scale spatial pattern.....	4
2.2.1 South African bioregions and ecoregions	4
2.2.2 Catchment signatures	7
2.3 The four-dimensional river.....	7
2.3.1 The longitudinal dimension	8
2.3.2 The vertical dimension – the hidden domain	15
2.3.3 The lateral dimension – beyond the wetted edge	17
2.3.4 The temporal dimension.....	20
2.4 Conclusion.....	28
2.5	
References.....	28
3. THE RIVER AS A LIVING SYSTEM	32
3.1 Introduction.....	32
3.2 Life in running water.....	33
3.2.1 Morphological adaptations	34
3.2.2 Physiological adaptations	35
3.2.3 Behavioural adaptations	36
3.2.4 Life cycle strategies	36
3.3 Biological links to the flow regime.....	39
3.3.1 Flow categories and riverine plants	39
3.3.2 Invertebrates and floods	41
3.4 Stream communities – revisiting disturbance.....	42
3.5 Conclusion.....	45
vi	
3.6 References.....	45
4. DESCRIBING HYDRAULIC HABITAT	48
4.1 Introduction.....	48
4.2 Flows and channel morphology.....	48
4.2.1 River channels	48
4.2.2 River floodplains	50
4.3 Flows and aquatic, riparian and floodplain vegetation.....	51
4.4 Fish and invertebrates.....	54
4.4.1 Habitat Suitability Criteria	54
4.4.2 Flow classes	60
4.4.3 Hydraulic biotopes	63
4.5 Boundary-layer, benthic and hyporheic flows.....	68
4.6 Conclusion.....	68

4.7 References.....	69
5. ECOLOGICAL ISSUES IN RIVER FLOW MANAGEMENT AND THE CHALLENGES FOR ECOHYDRAULICS	75
5.1 Hydrology – the master variable.....	75
5.2 Hydraulics – the vital translator.....	75
5.3 Key linkages between river ecology and hydraulics.....	80
5.3.1 Velocity and depth	80
5.3.2 Sediment movement and sorting	81
5.3.3 Change through time: the habitat time series	81
5.4 Conclusion.....	81
5.5 References.....	82
PART III : ECOHYDRAULICS IN PRACTICE	
6. HYDRAULICS IN RIVER ECOSYSTEMS	83
6.1 Introduction.....	83
6.2 Linking water occurrence and ecological functioning.....	83
6.2.1 Water in the river ecosystem	83
6.2.2 Selecting linkages and defining variables	85
6.3 Ecohydraulic Modeling.....	92
6.4 Conclusion.....	95
6.5 References.....	96
7. FLOW RESISTANCE IN RIVERS	98
7.1 Introduction.....	98
7.2 Stage-discharge relationships derived from flow data.....	99
7.3 Sources of flow resistance and their description.....	100
7.4 Channel bed resistance.....	102
7.4.1 Immobile beds	103
7.4.2 Mobile beds	110
7.5 Vegetation resistance.....	114
7.5.1 Submerged vegetation	114
7.5.2 Emergent vegetation	115
7.6 Channel macro-form resistance.....	119
7.6.1 Bend resistance	119
vii	
7.6.2 Bar resistance	121
7.6.3 Compound channels	122
7.7 Composite resistance.....	123
7.7.1 Tables and photographic guides	123
7.7.2 Synthesis methods	124
7.8 Conclusion.....	126
7.9 References.....	126
8. CHANNEL MAINTENANCE FLOWS	132
8.1 Introduction.....	132
8.2 Key concepts.....	133
8.2.1 Channel type	133
8.2.2 Channel form	134
8.2.3 Channel pattern	134
8.2.4 Substrate	134
8.2.5 Sediment transport	135
8.2.6 Flow and sediment regimes	136
8.2.7 Morphologically significant discharges	136
8.2.8 Equilibrium adjustments	137
8.3 Channel maintenance flow applications.....	137
8.3.1 Bed disturbance flows	137
8.3.2 Flows for maintaining channel form	143
8.3.3 Sediment flushing flows.....	148

8.4 Conclusion.....	154
8.5 References.....	154
9. THE ROLE OF ECOHYDRAULICS IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN ECOLOGICAL RESERVE	159
9.1 Introduction.....	159
9.2 The South African Ecological Reserve for rivers.....	160
9.2.1 Background	160
9.2.2 The levels of Ecological Reserve determination	163
9.2.3 Ecological Reserve methods	163
9.3 Ecohydraulics within the South African Ecological Reserve.....	165
9.3.1 Background	165
9.3.2 Reserve levels, site character, field information, analysis and uncertainty	165
9.3.3 Field information	170
9.3.4 Hydraulic modeling	182
9.3.5 Habitat hydraulics	198
9.3.6 Future developments	210
9.4 References	211
10. RIVER REHABILITATION AND IMPACT MITIGATION STRUCTURES	219
10.1 Introduction.....	219
10.2 River Rehabilitation.....	220
10.2.1 Impacts on river health	220
10.2.2 Minimising the impact of new engineering works on rivers	221
10.2.3 Approaches to the rehabilitation of degraded rivers	222
viii	
10.2.4 Bank and bed erosion protection materials and approaches	225
10.2.5 Structures for erosion protection and increasing habitat availability	233
10.2.6 The role of hydraulic design and modeling in river rehabilitation	241
10.2.7 River rehabilitation case studies	242
10.3 Hydraulic structures to mitigate environmental impact.....	251
10.3.1 Fishways	251
10.3.2 Outlet structures designed to release the Ecological Reserve	256
10.3.3 Sediment flushing mechanisms for dams and weirs	257
10.4 Acknowledgements.....	258
10.5 References.....	258
PART IV : CONCLUSION	
11. CONCLUSIONS AND THE WAY FORWARD	262
11.1 Conclusions.....	262
11.2 The Way Forward.....	262
11.3 References.....	264
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 2-1 Ecological definitions of longitudinal zones for South African rivers (after Rowntree and Wadeson, 1999)	8
Table 2-2 Definitions of some common morphological units (after Rowntree and Wadeson, 1999; King and Schael, 2001)	13
Table 2-3 Categories of visually distinct flow types (after Rowntree, 1996; Newson <i>et al.</i> , 1998; King and Schael, 2001)	14
Table 2-4 Categories of flows and major ecological functions that they are thought to fulfill in Western Cape rivers (after King <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	22
Table 4-1 Seven suggested zones of riparian vegetation related to inundation regime (Boucher, 2001)	53
Table 4-2 Tentative guidelines for the biological reference condition of Riparian Scrub vegetation communities on the banks of Western Cape headwater streams (Reinecke <i>et al.</i> , 2007) ...	53
Table 4-3 Comparison of vegetation communities of Reinecke <i>et al.</i> (2007) and Boucher (2001)	54
Table 4-4 Flow Classes for fish and suggested method for data collection (Kleynhans, 1999)	61
Table 4-5 Cover type (Kleynhans, 1999) after Wang <i>et al.</i> , (1996)	62

Table 4-6 Geomorphological Hydraulic Biotopes (HB) grouped by Ecological HB (King and Schael, 2001)	64
Table 4-7 Definition of each biologically-defined hydraulic biotope (HB) in Western Cape headwater streams by depth (m), flow types, substrata, mean water column (0.6) velocity (m s ⁻¹), and Froude number (King and Schael (2001). Flow-type codes as per Table 2.3	65
Table 5-1 Areas of ecological concern in river studies and management that need hydraulic inputs. 78	
Table 7-1 Values of coefficients <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> for submerged vegetation	115
Table 8-1 Grade scales for substrate particle size (adapted from Brakensiek <i>et al.</i> , 1979)	134
Table 8-2 Exponents for defining critical shear stress in mixed bed sediments (adapted from Petit, 1994)	142
Table 8-3 Existing regime equations	146

ix

Table 9-1 Example of assurance rule tables from an Ecological Reserve determination expressed as mean monthly flow (m ³ /s)	162
Table 9-2 Data requirements and methods of hydraulic analysis appropriate to different Reserve levels	168
Table 9-3 Modelled hydraulic data and flow classes using basic hydraulic analyses indicated in Table 9.2	208

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Ecoregions of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (from Kleynhans <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	6
Figure 2-2 The three spatial dimensions of a river system: longitudinal, lateral and vertical change through different time scales (the temporal dimension) to produce behavioural responses in living organisms over the short term and evolutionary changes in the long term (Ward, 1989)	7
Figure 2-3 A mountain headwater stream	9
Figure 2-4 A foothill run-riffle sequence	9
Figure 2-5 A transitional zone	10
Figure 2-6 The South African hierarchical system (Rowntree and Wadeson, 1999)	12
Figure 2-7 Patchiness in nitrate concentrations as a result of the interaction of surface-subsurface water fluxes, as a function of the spatial configuration of sand bars. (after Fisher <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	17
Figure 2-8 Portion of the detailed mapping of floodplain vegetation units on the Berg River floodplain. Numbered points represent positions for which hydraulic time-series data were obtained using two-dimensional hydrodynamic modeling (Boucher and Jones, 2008).....	19
Figure 2-9 Time series of daily water depth (m) at three points on the Berg River floodplain, corresponding to different habitat units shown in Figure 2.5 (Boucher and Jones, 2008)	21
Figure 2-10 Embedded (left) and scoured (right) river beds illustrating the availability of interstitial spaces among river cobbles and boulders	23
Figure 2-11 Daily variability in temperatures in a single riffle in the Molenaars River, Western Cape, over an eight month period (data from Justine Ewart-Smith, University of Cape Town)	25
Figure 2-12 Characterisation of instream flow refugium potential in streams with different shear-stress distributions at low and high flows (after Lancaster and Hildrew, 1993).	27
Figure 3-1 A range of adaptations that river invertebrates have evolved to prevent themselves from being washed away in the current (Davies and Day, 1998)	35
Figure 3-2 Population structure of <i>Baetis sp.</i> and <i>Demoreptus capensis</i> at the start (May) and end (July) of a winter period of floods in the Berg River. (Unpublished data, G. Ractliffe, Freshwater Research Unit, University of Cape Town).	39
Figure 3-3 Lateral zonation of riparian vegetation in Western Cape rivers and possible links with flow categories (after Boucher, 2002). (Vegetation zones recognised by Boucher are shown on the right; Flow categories on the left. Flow categories as per Table 2-4	40
Figure 3-4 Conceptual relationship between flow categories, flow percentiles, and zonation of riparian vegetation.	41
Figure 3-5 Negative correlation between <i>Leucotrichia</i> and <i>Paragyraactis</i> densities on disturbed and undisturbed stones (McAuliffe 1984)	42
Figure 3-6 Connell's (1978) Intermediate Disturbance Hypothesis showing the relationship between	

species diversity and disturbance intensity or frequency	43
x	
Figure 3-7 Conceptual model of stream classification based on characteristics of the flow regime (Poff and Ward, 1989)	44
Figure 4-1 Typical floodplain features on the Klip River: oxbow lakes and seasonally and permanently saturated backwaters (Tooth <i>et al.</i> , 2001).	50
Figure 4-2 Category II HSC for juvenile Clanwilliam yellowfish (<i>Labeobarbus capensis</i>) from the Driehoeks River, Western Cape (solid black lines and shaded bars). Habitat availability (A) (secondary y axis): broken lines and unshaded bars (Paxton and King, in press)	58
Figure 4-3 Density of a) blackfly (<i>Simuliidae</i>) larvae and b) their competitor <i>Cheumatopsyche afra</i> on individual stones that were repeat-sampled at an interval of one month (unpub. data G. Ractliffe, University of Cape Town). Baseline: spotted bars; after one month: black bars	60
Figure 4-4 A graphical representation of Flow Classes for fish in South Africa: SD = Slow Deep; SS = Slow Shallow, FD = Fast Deep, FS = Fast Shallow (Kleynhans, 1999)	62
Figure 4-5 Proposed flow classes for invertebrates in South Africa: (Hirshowitz <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	63
Figure 4-6 Biotopes mapped in the upper Berg River in summer ($Q = 0.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$). Inset bar graph shows the area of each biotope type in m^2	66
Figure 4-7 Biotopes mapped in the Berg River in winter ($Q = 2.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$), in the same reach as in Figure 4-6. Inset bar graph shows the area of each biotope type in m^2	67
Figure 6-1 Linkages within the ecohydrological system (after James, 2008)	84
Figure 6-2 Attributes of hydrological/hydraulic variables (James, 2008)	84
Figure 6-3 Hierarchical organization and associations with scale (Dollar <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	89
Figure 6-4 Geomorphological, hydrological and ecological organizational hierarchies (after Dollar <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	90
Figure 7-1 Example of a stage-discharge relationship determined by correlation of field data	100
Figure 7-2 Examples of immobile bed (a) and mobile bed (b) conditions	103
Figure 7-3 Scales of roughness for resistance definition	103
Figure 7-4 Variation of Darcy-Weisbach resistance coefficient in the intermediate-scale roughness zone (data from Jordanova and James, 2007).....	106
Figure 7-5 Comparison of resistance coefficient formulations for immobile beds	110
Figure 7-6 Flow resistance associated with bed forms. The broken line represents the shear resistance associated with grain (surface) roughness and the solid line the total equivalent shear resistance (adapted from Engelund and Hansen, 1967)	111
Figure 7-7 Bed form dimensions	112
Figure 7-8 Emergent vegetation : extensive (a), as bank strips (b) and in patches (c)	116
Figure 7-9 Variation of Manning's n with flow depth for flow through emergent vegetation (James <i>et al.</i> , 2004).....	116
Figure 7-10 Drag coefficient values for emergent vegetation (adapted from James <i>et al.</i> , 2008) ...	117
Figure 7-11 Sub-division of cross-section into clear and vegetated zones	118
Figure 7-12 A sequence of bends in a meandering river	120
Figure 7-13 A pool-riffle sequence in a cobble-bed river	121
Figure 7-14 Compound channel section.....	122
Figure 8-1 Typical cobble and boulder bed river	135
Figure 8-2 Shields diagram (Yalin and Karahan, 1979)	139
Figure 8-3 Critical conditions for cohesionless sediment particles (Rooseboom, 1992)	140
Figure 8-4 Accumulation of fine sediment on the river bed (Wemmershoek River, Western Cape)	149
Figure 8-5 Schematic representation of the Jonker <i>et al.</i> (2002) sand scour model	151
xi	
Figure 8-6 Diagrammatic illustration of the Hirschowitz <i>et al.</i> (2007) sand scour model	153
Figure 9-1 The causal links governing ecohydraulics in the South African Ecological Reserve, modified from James <i>et al.</i> , 2001 (Q = discharge; Q_s = sediment supply; red and green text indicate drivers and biological responses, respectively)	160
Figure 9-2 Relations between Reserve level, number of surveys, site character and type of hydraulic analysis	167

Figure 9-3 Typical cobble/boulder rapid (top left), cobble/gravel riffle (top right), sand run (bottom left) and pool (bottom right) features representing critical and/or important morphological units used for ecological flow assessment (<i>Photographs D Louw</i>).	172
Figure 9-4 Distributary channels in a multi-thread mixed-anastomosing channel-type along the Sabie River (Figure 9.5) (<i>Photograph D Louw</i>)	173
Figure 9-5 Aerial photograph of a Reserve site (mixed-anastomosing channel-type) along the Sabie River (Kruger National Park, South Africa: 24°59'12"S 31°17'34"E). The modelled area (River2D) is indicated in red with the upstream boundary in green. The inundated region for a discharge of 7.8 m ³ /s is rendered using the 'hot-cold' shading representing velocity magnitude.	173
Figure 9-6 Google™ Earth satellite images of an 11 km zone of the Mokolo River floodplain system at Lepalale (Limpopo Province, South Africa: 23°47'38"S 27°46'00"E), showing the positioning of cross-sections based on hydraulic and biophysical criteria. The arrows indicate flow directions, and the floodplain view at Cross-section 4 shows the high resolution imagery.	175
Figure 9-7 Flow chart showing the model types used in ER assessments (refer to Table 9.2 for corresponding Reserve levels). The 'largely' deterministic methods (<i>viz.</i> resistance equations, 1-D and 2-D modeling) are differentiated from the 'largely' empirical approaches (<i>viz.</i> measured rating data and statistical methods).	183
Figure 9-8 Example of a rating curve developed using measured and synthesised data points and plotted on log-normal axes. Discharge is plotted against maximum depth as well as stage (AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level).	183
Figure 9-9 Aerial view of the Nyl River Floodplain in the Nylsvley Nature Reserve (24°38'25"S 28°41'59"E) (<i>Photograph K. Rogers</i>)	189
Figure 9-10 Plot of modelled stage and discharge hydrographs at a location in the Nylsvley Nature Reserve for the period 02/01/1996 to 27/06/2001 (after Birkhead <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	189
Figure 9-11 Annotated Google™ Earth satellite image of the Mokolo River floodplain system (23°47'38"S 27°46'00"E), showing the frequently inundated lower Tambotie floodplain resulting primarily from backup of Mokolo River flows. Flows in the Mokolo River are highly regulated by an upstream dam	190
Figure 9-12 The cross-sectional profile through the Sabie River site in Figure 9.4, using 2004 LIDAR data and a conventional survey of the active channel beds. The green markers show the relative positions of indicator species or zones required for assessing inundation levels for riparian vegetation, and the stages are plotted for a discharge of 2.3 m ³ /s (AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level).	197
Figure 9-13 Combined Habitat Suitability Index (CSI) values for a site on the Driehoeks River for (top) spawning sawfin (middle) juvenile yellowfish and (bottom) adult yellowfish. The simulation used River2D and is for a discharge of 0.29 m ³ /s. Solid black markers indicate surveyed fish positions and flow is from left to right (after Paxton, 2009)	200
xii	
Figure 9-14 Comparison of Combined Habitat Suitability Index (CSI) values for a site on the Driehoeks River using (top) standard suitability criteria, and (bottom) incorporating driftfeeding behaviour for juvenile yellowfish. The simulation used River2D and is for a discharge of 0.24 m ³ /s. Markers indicate surveyed fish positions and flow direction is from left to right (after Hirschowitz and Paxton, 2007).	201
Figure 9-15 (top) Flow classes for fish (or velocity-depth classes), modified from Jordanova <i>et al.</i> (2004). (bottom) Flow classes for invertebrates, modified from Hirschowitz <i>et al.</i> (2007). (The velocity and depth axes are truncated for plotting purposes.)	203
Figure 9-16 Complex arrangements of marginal and instream vegetation: (top left) reeds (<i>Phragmites maritimus</i>) in a bedrock rapid, (top right) sedges (<i>Cyperus marginatus</i>) in a cobble/boulder riffle; (bottom) riparian and overhanging marginal vegetation along a river's banks	205
Figure 9-17 (left) Measured depth-frequency distributions for a representative cross-section and for the	

riffle unit on the Driehoeks River; (right) measured and modelled (Lamouroux <i>et al.</i> , 1995) frequency-velocity distributions	209
Figure 9-18 Modelled (HABFLO) and measured abundance of flow classes, expressed using channel width, for a run at a site on the Driehoeks River; refer to Figure 9-15 for flow class velocity and depth ranges	209
Figure 10-1 Photograph of pegged brush mattress (African Gabions, 2004, in Sieben <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	226
Figure 10-2 Vegetative bundle tied every 250 mm apart (Sieben <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	227
Figure 10-3 Fibre mats covering compacted soil berms in a seepage wetland that had previously been drained (Sieben <i>et al.</i> , 2007).....	227
Figure 10-4 Various applications of geo-cells (Russell, 2007)	229
Figure 10-5 The installation of tyre nets: Typical frontal view / cross section (after Russell, 2007)	230
Figure 10-6 Terrafix blocks used for erosion protection of a channel bed (photo courtesy of Terraforce).....	231
Figure 10-7 Protecting stream bank with a wall of gabion baskets on a mattress: Typical cross section (Russell, 2007)	232
Figure 10-8 A system of gabion river groynes protecting a stream bank (Russell, 2007)	234
Figure 10-9 Use of river jacks to stabilise bank erosion (Russell, 2007	235
Figure 10-10 Cross section through a lunker and river bank (Vernon County, 2009)	236
Figure 10-11 Rock masonry weir in a wetland environment (Russell, 2007)	238
Figure 10-12 A U-shaped concrete buttress weir constructed on a gabion structure encased in concrete in a wetland environment (Russell, 2007)	238
Figure 10-13 Building an arch weir, double walls of concrete bricks with concrete fill in-between. Planned for raising once the sediment has reached the spillway level (Russell, 2007)	238
Figure 10-14 Components of a weir drop structure (after Russell, 2007)	239
Figure 10-15 Small drop structures that can be used in small eroding gullies generally less than 1 m deep (after Russell, 2007)	240
Figure 10-16 Concrete weir on the Ash River to dissipate energy within the channel (VelaVKE, 2008)	250
Figure 10-17 Rockfill weir with clay core (after VelaVKE, 2008)	250
Figure 10-18 Bypass rockramp fishway on the Lower Sabie River in KNP under low flow conditions at commissioning in October 2001 showing placement of rocks (Bok <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	252
Figure 10-19 Pre-barrage on Olifants River (Bok <i>et al.</i> ,2007)	252
Figure 10-20 Large vertical slot fishway at Mauzak, France (Bok <i>et al.</i> ,2007)	253
xiii	
Figure 10-21 Pool and notched-weir fishway on the Lebombo gauging weir on the Komati River (Bok <i>et al.</i> ,2007)	254
Figure 10-22 Close-up of sloping weir of the Nhlabane pool and weir fishway at low flows, looking upstream (Bok <i>et al.</i> ,2007)	254
Figure 10-23 Intake tower and high and low flow outlets at Berg River Dam, under construction (photograph courtesy of Berg River Consultants)	257