

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1.1
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	INTRODUCTION	2.1
3.	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	FIELD INVESTIGATIONS	3.1
3.2	ESSAYS	3.3
3.3	TECHNICAL REPORT	3.4
3.4	GOOD FARMING PRACTICES	3.4
3.5	INFORMATION TRANSFER	3.4
4.	INTENSIVE ANIMAL HUSBANDRY	
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4.1
4.1.1	Motivation	4.1
4.1.2	Intensive animal husbandry	4.1
4.1.2.1	IAH in South Africa	4.2
4.1.2.2	South African legislation aimed at preventing groundwater contamination from IAH practices	4.3
4.1.3	Impact of IAH operations on ground water quality	4.4
4.1.3.1	Contaminants	4.7
4.1.3.1.1	Nitrogen	4.7
4.1.3.1.2	Other major ions and hydrochemical parameters ...	4.11
4.1.3.1.3	Heavy metals	4.12
4.1.3.1.4	Microbiological Pathogenic Indicators	4.12
4.1.4	Objectives of this specialist study	4.13
4.1.5	Approach	4.14
4.1.5.1	Field Site Selection	4.14
4.1.5.2	Data acquisition	4.14

Contents

	4.1.5.3	Sampling strategy	4.16
	4.1.5.4	Determinants	4.16
	4.1.5.5	Data quality	4.17
	4.1.5.6	Interpretation	4.17
	4.1.5.7	Limits of the project	4.17
4.2	SITE A		4.19
	4.2.1	Site background	4.19
	4.2.2	Operations at the dairy	4.19
	4.2.3	Land-use and potential groundwater contamination in the study area ..	4.20
	4.2.4	Hydrogeology of the site	4.21
	4.2.5	Field investigation	4.23
	4.2.6	Results of the field investigation	4.25
		4.2.6.1 The unsaturated zone in the effluent/waste flooded area	4.25
		4.2.6.2 Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	4.26
		4.2.6.3 Microbiological analysis	4.31
	4.2.7	Summary of impact of the IAH operations on groundwater quality ..	4.32
	4.2.8	Synopsis	4.33
	4.2.9	Conclusions	4.34
	4.2.10	Recommendations for further investigations	4.34
4.3	SITE B		4.35
	4.3.1	Site background	4.35
	4.3.2	Waste management at the dairy and piggery	4.35
	4.3.3	Land-use and potential groundwater contamination in the study area ..	4.36
	4.3.4	Hydrogeology of the site	4.38
	4.3.5	Field investigation	4.38
	4.3.6	Results of the field investigation	4.40
		4.3.6.1 Augering	4.40
		4.3.6.2 Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	4.42
	4.3.7	Summary of impact of the IAH operations on groundwater quality ...	4.47
	4.3.8	Synopsis	4.48
	4.3.9	Conclusions	4.49
	4.3.10	Recommendations for further investigations	4.50
4.4	SITE C		4.51
	4.4.1	Site background	4.51
	4.4.2	The feedlot	4.51
	4.4.3	Land-use and potential groundwater contamination in the study area ..	4.52
	4.4.4	Hydrogeology of the site	4.53
	4.4.5	Field investigation	4.54
	4.4.6	Results of the field investigation	4.55
		4.4.6.1 Drilling	4.55
		4.4.6.2 Soil analyses	4.56

Contents

4.4.6.3	Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	4.57
4.4.7	Summary of impact of the feedlot operations on groundwater quality	4.60
4.4.8	Synopsis	4.63
4.4.9	Conclusions	4.64
4.4.10	Recommendations for further investigations	4.64
4.5	SUMMARY OF THE FIELD INVESTIGATIONS	4.65
4.6	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE NON-TECHNICAL BOOKLET	4.69

5. FERTILIZER APPLICATION TO AGRICULTURAL LAND

5.1	INTRODUCTION	5.1
5.1.1	Motivation	5.1
5.1.2	Commercial Inorganic Fertilizers	5.1
5.1.2.1	Application of commercial fertilizers to agricultural land	5.2
5.1.2.2	Commercial fertilizer use in South Africa	5.7
5.1.2.3	South African Legislative Controls on Fertilizer Application	5.9
5.1.3	Impact of fertilizer application on groundwater quality	5.9
5.1.3.1	Nitrogen	5.10
5.1.3.2	Other nutrients and major ions	5.15
5.1.4	Objective of this specialist study	5.16
5.1.5	Approach	5.17
5.1.5.1	Field site selection	5.17
5.1.5.2	Data acquisition	5.18
5.1.5.3	Sampling strategy	5.19
5.1.5.4	Determinants	5.20
5.1.5.5	Nitrogen isotopes	5.20
5.1.5.6	Data quality	5.22
5.1.5.7	Interpretation	5.22
5.1.5.8	Limits of the project	5.22
5.2	SITE A: HEX RIVER VALLEY	5.24
5.2.1	Site Background	5.24
5.2.2	Application of fertilizer to the site	5.26
5.2.3	Geology of the Site	5.27
5.2.4	Field investigation	5.34
5.2.4.1	Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	5.34
5.2.4.2	Description and discussion of soils data	5.48
5.2.5	Summary of impact of fertilizer application on groundwater quality, with particular reference to nitrate levels	5.50
5.2.6	Synopsis	5.51
5.2.7	Conclusions	5.51
5.2.8	Recommendations for longer term investigations	5.51

Contents

5.3	SITE B: VERLORENVLEI	5.52
5.3.1	Site Background	5.52
5.3.2	Application of fertilizers to the site	5.52
5.3.3	Land use and potential groundwater contamination within the study area	5.53
5.3.4	Hydrogeology of the site	5.53
5.3.5	Field investigation	5.60
	5.3.5.1 Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	5.60
	5.3.5.2 Description and discussion of soils data	5.77
5.3.6	Summary of impact of fertilizer application on groundwater quality, with particular reference to nitrate levels	5.78
5.3.7	Conclusions	5.78
5.3.8	Recommendations for longer term investigations	5.79
5.4	SITE C: HERTZOGVILLE	5.80
5.4.1	Site Background	5.80
5.4.2	Application of fertilizers to the site	5.82
5.4.3	Climatic characteristics	5.82
5.4.4	Geology of the site	5.83
5.4.5	Hydrogeology	5.84
5.4.6	Field investigation	5.84
	5.4.6.1 Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	5.86
	5.4.6.2 Description and discussion of soil data	5.92
5.4.7	Summary of impact of fertilizer application on groundwater quality, with particular reference to nitrate levels	5.92
5.4.8	Conclusions	5.93
5.4.9	Recommendations for longer term investigations	5.93
5.5	SUMMARY OF FIELD INVESTIGATIONS	5.94
5.6	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE NON-TECHNICAL HANDBOOK	5.95
6.	SLUDGE APPLICATION TO AGRICULTURAL LAND	
6.1	INTRODUCTION	6.1
6.1.1	Motivation	6.1
6.1.2	Sewage sludge	6.1
	6.1.2.1 Sludge disposal options	6.1
	6.1.2.2 Application of sewage sludge to agricultural land	6.3
	6.1.2.3 Sludge disposal options	6.7
	6.1.2.4 Application of sewage sludge to agricultural land	6.7
6.1.3	Impact of agricultural sludge application on ground water quality	6.9
	6.1.3.1 Heavy metals	6.10
	6.1.3.2 Microbiological Pathogenic Indicators	6.11

Contents

	6.1.3.3 Nitrogen	6.11
	6.1.3.4 Other nutrients and major ions	6.16
	6.1.3.5 Trace Organics	6.17
6.1.4	Objectives of this specialist study	6.18
6.1.5	Approach	6.18
	6.1.5.1 Field Site Selection	6.18
	6.1.5.2 Data acquisition	6.19
	6.1.5.3 Sampling strategy	6.21
	6.1.5.4 Determinands	6.22
	6.1.5.5 Nitrogen Isotopes	6.22
	6.1.5.6 Data quality	6.24
	6.1.5.7 Interpretation	6.24
	6.1.5.8 Limits of the project	6.24
6.2	SITE A	6.26
6.2.1	Site background	6.26
6.2.2	Application of sewage sludge to the site	6.26
6.2.3	Land-use and potential groundwater contamination around the study area.	6.28
6.2.4	Hydrogeology of the site	6.29
6.2.5	Field investigation	6.32
6.2.6	Results of the field investigation	6.33
	6.2.6.1 Description and discussion of microbiological contamination indicators	6.33
	6.2.6.2 Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	6.34
	6.2.6.3 Description and discussion of soil data	6.48
6.2.7	Summary of impact of sludge application on groundwater quality	6.49
6.2.8	Synopsis	6.50
6.2.9	Conclusions	6.51
6.2.10	Recommendations for further investigations	6.52
6.3	SITE B	6.53
6.3.1	Site background	6.53
6.3.2	Application of sewage sludge to the site	6.54
6.3.3	Land-use and potential groundwater contamination in and around the study area.	6.57
6.3.4	Field investigation	6.59
6.3.5	Hydrogeology of the site	6.60
6.3.6	Results of the field investigation	6.64
	6.3.6.1 Description and discussion of microbiological contamination indicators	6.64
	6.3.6.2 Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	6.65
	6.3.6.2.1 Metals	6.65
	6.3.6.2.2 Major ions	6.65

Contents

	6.3.6.2.3 Nitrogen	6.69
	6.3.6.3 Description and discussion of soil data	6.71
	6.3.7 Summary of impact of sludge application on groundwater quality	6.72
	6.3.8 Synopsis	6.73
	6.3.9 Conclusions	6.74
	6.3.10 Recommendations for further investigations	6.74
6.4	SITE C	6.75
	6.4.1 Site background	6.75
	6.4.2 Application of sewage sludge to the site	6.75
	6.4.3 Land-use and potential groundwater contamination around the study area	6.76
	6.4.4 Hydrogeology of the site	6.76
	6.4.5 Field investigation	6.78
	6.4.6 Results of the field investigation	6.79
	6.4.6.1 Description and discussion of microbiological contamination indicators	6.79
	6.4.6.2 Description and discussion of hydrochemical data	6.81
	6.4.6.2.1 Metals	6.81
	6.4.6.2.2 Major ions	6.81
	6.4.6.3 Description and discussion of soil data	6.87
	6.4.7 Summary of impact of sludge application on groundwater quality	6.89
	6.4.8 Synopsis	6.90
	6.4.9 Conclusions	6.91
	6.4.10 Recommendations for further investigations	6.92
6.5	SUMMARY OF THE FIELD INVESTIGATIONS	6.93
6.6	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE NON-TECHNICAL BOOKLET	6.97
7.	THE EFFECT OF IRRIGATION ON GROUNDWATER SALINITY IN SOUTH AFRICA	
7.1	INTRODUCTION	7.1
	7.1.1 Aims	7.1
	7.1.2 Report layout	7.1
7.2	GROUNDWATER AND IRRIGATION	7.2
7.3	SALINITY PROCESSES IN IRRIGATED SOILS AND UNDERLYING AQUIFER SYSTEMS	7.6
	7.3.1 The General Situation in South Africa	7.6
	7.3.2 Water and Salt Movement through Soil	7.8
	7.3.3 Solute-Soil Surface Interaction	7.9
	7.3.4 Chemical Reactions in Solution	7.9
	7.3.5 Salt and Sodium Effects on Salt Movement	7.10
	7.3.6 Aquifer Processes	7.10

Contents

7.3.7	A Footnote on Nutrients	7.11
7.4	THE VAALHARTS IRRIGATION SCHEME	7.12
7.4.1	Introduction	7.12
7.4.2	Irrigation and agriculture	7.12
7.4.3	Geology and hydrogeology	7.13
7.4.4	Water quality data and salt balance	7.13
7.4.5	Hypothesis of the processes	7.15
7.4.6	Future implications	7.19
7.5	THE BREEDE RIVER VALLEY	7.19
7.5.1	Introduction	7.19
7.5.2	Irrigation and agriculture	7.21
7.5.3	Geology	7.22
7.5.4	Hydrogeology and hydrochemistry	7.23
7.5.5	Irrigation and the salinity of groundwater and return flows	7.24
7.6	THE GREAT FISH AND SUNDAYS RIVER BASIN	7.27
7.6.1	Introduction	7.27
7.6.2	Irrigation and agriculture	7.27
7.6.3	Hydrogeology	7.28
7.6.4	Hydrochemistry	7.30
7.6.5	Irrigation and groundwater salinity	7.30
7.7	SALINITY MANAGEMENT	7.32
7.7.1	Reduction in the leaching fraction	7.32
7.7.2	Reduction in leakage from canals and dams	7.33
7.7.3	Application of a better quality irrigation water	7.34
7.7.4	Artificial drainage	7.34
7.7.5	Choice of area and timing of new land development	7.35
7.8	CONCLUSION	7.36
8.	GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION BY PESTICIDES	
8.1	AIMS	8.1
8.2	PESTICIDES AND THEIR IMPACT ON GROUNDWATER - (<i>John Weaver</i>)	8.1
8.2.1	Discussion	8.1
8.2.2	Atrazine	8.2
8.2.3	Aldicarb	8.3
8.2.4	Pesticides and the South African Water Law (extracted from Vermeulen 1996)	8.4
8.3	PESTICIDE FATE AND BEHAVIOUR IN THE SUBSURFACE OF SOILS - (<i>Charlie Reinhardt</i>)	8.5
8.3.1	Introduction	8.5

Contents

8.3.2	Transfer Processes	8.7
8.3.2.1	Absorption, exudation and retention by plants	8.8
8.3.2.2	Adsorption on soil colloids	8.9
8.3.2.2.1	Organic matter	8.10
8.3.2.2.2	Clay minerals	8.11
8.3.2.2.3	Oxides and hydrous oxides of iron and aluminium	8.11
8.3.2.2.4	pH-dependent charge on soil colloids	8.11
8.3.2.3	Influence of soil pH, water content, electrolyte concentration, temperature and pesticide "age" on adsorption	8.12
8.3.2.3.1	Soil pH	8.12
8.3.2.3.2	Soil water	8.13
8.3.2.3.3	Electrolyte concentration in soil solution	8.15
8.3.2.3.4	Temperature	8.16
8.3.2.3.5	Age of pesticides	8.16
8.3.2.4	Preferential flow in soil macropores	8.16
8.3.2.5	Volatilization	8.17
8.3.3	Transformation Processes	8.17
8.3.3.1	Aspects of soil properties	8.18
8.3.3.2	Aspects of temperature and water	8.18
8.3.3.3	Chemical degradation	8.19
8.3.3.4	Microbial degradation	8.20
8.3.3.5	Photodecomposition	8.20
8.3.4	Significance of Persistence	8.20
8.3.5	Modelling of Persistence and Leaching	8.21
8.4	POINT SOURCE CONTAMINATION - (John Weaver)	8.23
8.4.1	Agricultural point source contamination	8.23
8.4.2	Industrial point-source contamination	8.24
8.5	CASE EXAMPLES OF PESTICIDES IN GROUNDWATER - (John Weaver)	8.25
8.5.1	Hex River Valley, Western Cape, South Africa	8.25
8.5.2	Vaalharts Irrigation Scheme, Northern Cape, South Africa	8.27
8.5.3	California, USA (Holden, 1986)	8.31
8.5.4	New York State, USA (Holden, 1986)	8.32
8.5.5	Wisconsin, USA (Holden, 1986)	8.35
8.5.6	Florida, USA (Holden, 1986)	8.37
8.5.7	Kansas, USA (Steichen <i>et al.</i> , 1988)	8.37
8.5.8	Nebraska, USA (Spalding <i>et al.</i> , 1989)	8.38
8.5.9	Hawaii, USA (Oki and Giambelluca, 1988)	8.39
8.5.10	Pennsylvania, USA (Pionke and Glotfelty, 1989)	8.39
8.5.11	Arkansas, USA (Cavalier <i>et al.</i> , 1989)	8.40
8.5.12	Iowa, USA (EPA, 1987a)	8.40
8.5.13	Minnesota, USA (Klaseus <i>et al.</i> , 1988)	8.41
8.5.14	The National Pesticide Survey of the USEPA, USA (USEPA, 1990)	8.42

Contents

8.6	PESTICIDES IN THE ROOTING ZONE OF ANNUAL CROPS, SOUTH AFRICAN CASE STUDIES - (<i>Charlie Reinhardt</i>)	8.43
8.6.1	Atrazine and terbuthylazine leaching in 23 soils under controlled conditions (Extracted from Hugo, 1994; Reinhardt & Hugo, 1997)	8.44
8.6.2	Terbuthylazine leaching under field conditions (Extracted from Kamffer, 1997)	8.47
8.6.3	Metolachlor mobility under controlled and field conditions (Extracted from Van Biljon, 1991)	8.48
8.6.3.1	Metolachlor mobility in an aeolian soil	8.48
8.6.3.2	Metolachlor leaching in 19 soils	8.49
8.7	CASE STUDIES OF PESTICIDES IN SOUTH AFRICAN SURFACE WATER - (<i>Charlie Reinhardt</i>)	8.51
8.7.1	Atrazine in pan and dam water (Extracted from Coetzee & Vahrmeijer - undated)	8.51
8.7.1.1	Pan and soil water	8.51
8.7.1.2	Dam water	8.54
8.8	MONITORING FOR CHLORO-TRIAZINE RESIDUES IN SOUTH AFRICA - (<i>J J van Biljon</i>)	8.59
8.8.1	Introduction	8.59
8.8.2	Water Monitoring Sites	8.59
8.8.3	Results	8.60
8.8.4	Interpretation of the Water Monitoring Results	8.63
8.8.5	Ecotoxicological Risk Assessment	8.63
8.8.6	Toxicological Risk Assessment	8.63
8.8.7	Conclusion	8.64
8.8.8	Good Farming Practice for Chloro-Triazine Herbicides - J J van Biljon	8.64
8.9	CONCLUSIONS AND GUIDELINES FOR FUTURE STUDIES	8.67
8.9.1	Legislation	8.68
8.9.2	Regulation	8.68
8.9.3	Research, modelling and risk assessment/management	8.69
8.9.4	Knowledge requirements	8.71
8.10	RECOMMENDATIONS	8.72
8.11	GOOD FARMING PRACTICES	8.72
8.11.1	Point sources	8.72
8.11.2	Pesticide Spillage Safety Traps (PSST)	8.74
8.11.3	Diffuse pesticide pollution	8.74

Contents

9. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1	SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	9.1
9.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	9.4
9.3	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOOD FARMING PRACTICES	9.5
9.3.1	Intensive animal husbandry	9.5
9.3.2	Fertilizer	9.7
9.3.3	Sludge application	9.8
9.3.4	Salinisation as a result of Irrigation	9.10
9.3.5	Pesticides	9.10

10. REFERENCES



LIST OF TABLES

2 LITERATURE SURVEY

- Table 2.1: *Groundwater quality problems reported in the literature which are associated with crop farming*
- Table 2.2: *Groundwater quality problems reported in the literature which are associated with stock farming*
- Table 2.3: *Groundwater quality problems reported in the literature which are associated with farming infrastructure*
- Table 2.4: *Groundwater quality problems reported in the literature which are associated with other farming activities*

4 INTENSIVE ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

- Table 4.1: *Results of effluent analysis*
- Table 4.2: *Depth and lithology at water table in relation to topographic height and distance from the river.*
- Table 4.3: *Summary of hydrochemical and microbiological results*

5 FERTILIZER APPLICATION TO AGRICULTURAL LAND

- Table 5.1 : *Common components of commercial fertilizers worldwide.*
- Table 5.2 : *Application and placement methods of N fertilizers for various crop stages*
- Table 5.3 : *Lithostratigraphy of the Cape Supergroup in the Hex River Valley and environs (SACS, 1980)*
- Table 5.4 : *EC differences between January 1996 and January 1997*
- Table 5.5 : *Nitrate differences between January 1996 and January 1997*
- Table 5.6 : *Potassium difference between January 1996 and January 1997*
- Table 5.7 : *$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for four sampling localities*
- Table 5.8 : *Nitrate concentrations vs $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values*
- Table 5.9. *Soil analyses for sample locality 14 and 11*
- Table 5.10 : *Change in nitrate concentration for a year of monitoring.*
- Table 5.11 : *Change in potassium concentration for a year of monitoring.*
- Table 5.12 : *$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ isotope values*
- Table 5.13 : *Soils analysis for 32°17' S, 18°33' E (Verlorenvlei area)*
- Table 5.14 : *Statistics related to EC, nitrate and potassium concentration changes*
- Table 5.15 : *$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values and nitrate concentrations*

Contents

6 SLUDGE APPLICATION TO AGRICULTURAL LAND

- Table 6.1: Sludge disposal by different methods in selected countries (%).*
Table 6.2: Typical nitrogen and phosphorus content of sewage sludges (UK) (as spread; kg m⁻³ for liquids; kg t⁻¹ for cakes).
Table 6.3: Site A: Results of NO₃-N isotope analyses
Table 6.4: Results of grain size analysis and calculated bulk permeability.

7 THE EFFECT OF IRRIGATION ON GROUNDWATER SALINITY IN SOUTH AFRICA

- Table 7.1: Irrigation from groundwater in Agricultural Districts.*
Table 7.2: Districts with highest irrigation from groundwater
Table 7.3: Irrigation with groundwater as only source
Table 7.4: Problems per district
Table 7.5: TDS values for different formations (after Jolly, 1990).
Table 7.6: Observed irrigation efficiency as calculated for 12 month period.

8 GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION BY PESTICIDES

- Table 8.1: The 11 most studied pesticides in terms of transport through soils (From Flury 1996)*
Table 8.2: Summary of atrazine and nitrate levels detected in incoming and outgoing surface water, Vaalharts Irrigation Scheme.
Table 8.3: Aldicarb results by Suffolk County Township, New York State
Table 8.4: Suffolk County Department of Health services pesticide testing programme
Table 8.5: Summary of groundwater pesticide monitoring for 7/1/83 through 12/31/84 State of Wisconsin
Table 8.6: Contaminants Found in Farmstead Wells - Kansas
Table 8.7: Occurrence of pesticides in Minnesota public and private wells
Table 8.8: Leaching distances attained in soil thick-layer chromatograms with atrazine and terbuthylazine applied to 23 soils
Table 8.9: Terbuthylazine residues in soil samples collected during the 1991/1992 season at different field sites
Table 8.10: Leaching depths attained by metolachlor in 19 soils exposed to differential amounts of simulated rainfall
Table 8.11: Linear correlation coefficients (r) indicating the relationship between soil properties and leaching depth of metolachlor.
Table 8.12: Atrazine concentrations (µg L⁻¹) detected at regular intervals in different dams from August 1990 until February 1993.
Table 8.13: Residues of atrazine in surface water in South Africa (micrograms/L)
-

Contents

- Table 8.14: Residues of terbuthylazine in surface water in South Africa (micrograms/L)*
- Table 8.15: Residues of atrazine in ground water in South Africa from various boreholes (micrograms/L)*
- Table 8.16: Residues of terbuthylazine in ground water in South Africa from various boreholes (micrograms/L)*
- Table 8.17: Residues of atrazine in tap water of various South African towns (micrograms/L)*
- Table 8.18: Residues of terbuthylazine in tap water in various South African towns (micrograms/L)*

LIST OF FIGURES

4 INTENSIVE ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

- Figure 4.1: *The nitrogen cycle*
Figure 4.2: *Location of study sites*
Figure 4.3: *Site A: Location of sampling points and land-use.*
Figure 4.4: *Site A: Sample A: Nitrogen soil profile of NO_3^- - N and NH_4^+ - N.*
Figure 4.5: *Site A: Sample B: Nitrogen soil profile of NO_3^- - N and NH_4^+ - N.*
Figure 4.6: *Site A: Sample C: Nitrogen soil profile of NO_3^- - N and NH_4^+ - N.*
Figure 4.7: *Site A: Piper plot*
Figure 4.8: *Site A: Stiff diagrams*
Figure 4.9: *Site A: NO_3^- -N versus EC*
Figure 4.10: *Site A: NO_3^- - N versus K.*
Figure 4.11: *Site B: Position of sampling points and land-use*
Figure 4.12: *Site B: Soil nitrogen profile:sample 1*
Figure 4.13: *Site B: Soil nitrogen profile:samples 3 and 4.*
Figure 4.14: *Site B: Piper plot of hydrochemical results.*
Figure 4.15: *Site B: Stiff diagrams of hydrochemistry from sampling sites 5, 3, 4 and 1.*
Figure 4.16: *Site C: Soil nitrogen profile beneath the effluent lagoon*
Figure 4.17: *Site C: Spatial distribution of conductivity values.*
Figure 4.18: *Site C: Piper plot of hydrochemical results.*
Figure 4.19: *Site C: Stiff diagrams of hydrochemistry of selected samples along a southwest - northeast traverse of the site.*
Figure 4.20: *Site C: Spatial distribution of nitrate nitrogen values.*

5 FERTILIZER APPLICATION TO AGRICULTURAL LAND

- Figure 5.1 : *Nitrogenous, phosphate and potash fertilizer consumption values for South Africa*
Figure 5.2 : *Nitrogenous fertilizer sales in South Africa, 1992 to 1996.*
Figure 5.3 : *The nitrogen cycle*
Figure 5.4 : *Location of study sites for investigations of the impact of fertilizer on groundwater quality.*

Site A: **Hex River**

- Figure 5.5 : *Locality map of the study area*
-

Contents

- Figure 5.6 : Diagrammatic geological cross-section showing the hydrogeology of the Hex River Valley (Weaver, 1993).
- Figure 5.7 : Climatic data for the Hex River Valley (De Doorns)
- Figure 5.8 : Static water level fluctuations
- Figure 5.9 : Generalized Static Water Level Map
- Figure 5.10 : Sampling Localities
- Figure 5.11 : A Piper plot of well and drain samples
- Figure 5.12 : Spatial Distribution of EC
- Figure 5.13 : EC values for a year of sampling (1996)
- Figure 5.14 : Spatial distribution of nitrate (24 October 1996)
- Figure 5.15 : Nitrate values for a year of sampling (1996)
- Figure 5.17 : Potassium values for a year of sampling (1996)
- Figure 5.18 : The spatial distribution of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values
- Figure 5.19 : Nitrate concentrations vs $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values

Site B: Verlorenvlei

- Figure 5.20 : Geology map of the Verlorenvlei catchment
- Figure 5.23 : Sampling localities and irrigation circles.
- Figure 5.24 : Climatic data
- Figure 5.25 : Piper diagram of hydrochemical data (26 June 1996)
- Figure 5.26 : Spatial distribution of EC values (25 March 1997)
- Figure 5.27 : Electrical conductivity profiles for G44064 and G44065.
- Figure 5.28 : EC values for a year of sampling
- Figure 5.29 : Spatial distribution of nitrate concentrations (25 March 1997)
- Figure 5.30 : $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations for a year of sampling
- Figure 5.31 : Spatial distribution of potassium concentrations
- Figure 5.32 : Graph of nitrate concentration versus the distance between irrigation circle and sampling location.
- Figure 5.33 : Spatial distribution of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ isotope values
- Figure 5.34 : Graph of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ versus $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ isotope values

Site C: Hertzogville

- Figure 5.35 : Location of boreholes in the study area
- Figure 5.36 : Climatic data for Hertzogville and Frankfort.
- Figure 5.37 : A Piper trilinear plot of the sampling results, collected on 21 June 1996.
- Figure 5.38 : Spatial distribution of EC (mSm^{-1}), nitrate (mg/L^{-1}), potassium (mg/L^{-1}) and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, based on the 31 October 1996 sampling run.
- Figure 5.39 : $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ versus $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration
-

6 SLUDGE APPLICATION TO AGRICULTURAL LAND

- Figure 6.1: *The nitrogen cycle*
- Figure 6.2: *Location of study sites*
- Figure 6.3: *Site A: Comparison of selected sludge and groundwater constituents*
- Figure 6.4: *Site A: Surrounding landuse and sampling borehole locations.*
- Figure 6.5: *Conceptual hydrogeology of Site A*
- Figure 6.6: *Site A: Piper plot*
- Figure 6.7: *Site A: Stiff diagrams along a project flow path*
- Figure 6.8: *Site A: EC of groundwater samples*
- Figure 6.9: *Site A: EC versus total reported depth of borehole*
- Figure 6.10: *Site A: Spatial distribution of SO_4 in groundwater samples*
- Figure 6.11: *Site A: Spatial distribution of $NO_3 - N$ in groundwater samples*
- Figure 6.12: *Site A: $NO_3 - N$ versus total reported depth of borehole*
- Figure 6.13: *Site A: $NO_3 - N$ versus EC.*
- Figure 6.14: *Site A: $NO_3 - N$ versus DOC*
- Figure 6.15: *Site A: $NO_3 - N$ versus K.*
- Figure 6.16: *Site A: Nitrogen soil profile of $NO_3 - N$ and $NH_4 - N$.*
- Figure 6.17: *Site B: Metals and nitrogen in the sludge*
- Figure 6.18: *Site B: Constituents of the sludge leachate.*
- Figure 6.19: *Position of sampling points and land-use at Site B*
- Figure 6.20: *Site B: Conceptual Hydrogeology of Site B*
- Figure 6.21: *Rainfall recorded at Atlantis (Feb '96 to Feb '97)*
- Figure 6.22: *Site B: Rest water variations with time (March '96 to March '97)*
- Figure 6.23: *Site B: Heterotrophic plate count*
- Figure 6.24: *Site B: EC variations with time*
- Figure 6.25: *Site B: Piper plot of hydrochemical results*
- Figure 6.26: *Site B: Stiff diagrams of hydrochemistry*
- Figure 6.27: *Site B: NO_3-N variations with time*
- Figure 6.28: *Site B: Soil nitrogen profile*
- Figure 6.29: *Site C: Conceptual hydrogeology*
- Figure 6.30: *Site C: Position of groundwater and soil sampling*
- Figure 6.31: *Site C: Piper plot of hydrochemical results*
- Figure 6.32: *Site C: Stiff diagrams of hydrochemistry*
- Figure 6.33: *Site C: EC versus SO_4 (borehole numbers indicated)*
- Figure 6.34: *Site C: EC versus Cl (borehole numbers indicated)*

Contents

Figure 6.35: Site C: Nitrogen soil profile of sampling points 6 and 2.

Figure 6.36: Site C: Nitrogen soil profile of sampling points 1 and 5

Figure 6.37: Site C: Nitrogen soil profile of sampling points 3 and 4

7 THE EFFECT OF IRRIGATION ON GROUNDWATER SALINITY IN SOUTH AFRICA

Figure 7.1: A geological profile of the Harts River Valley (after Temperley, 1967)

Figure 7.2: Hypothesised flow processes in the Vaalharts irrigation area

Figure 7.3: Locality map of the upper, middle and lower Breede River catchments

Figure 7.4: Generalised cross-section through the Breede River Valley viewing downstream and showing the northerly dip of Table Mountain and Bokkeveld Groups (not to scale).

Figure 7.5: Great Fish and Sundays River basins showing features of the Orange River project.