

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	i
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of contents	vii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xii
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Motivation of the study	2
<b>2. OBJECTIVES, APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Main objectives of the study	5
2.2 Approach: a four-pronged approach necessary	5
2.3 Methodology	7
2.3.1 Literature study	7
2.3.2 Adapting the SMM housing model	7
2.3.3 Survey of residents in selected dense settlement areas	8
2.3.4 Case studies in two selected dense settlement areas	9
2.3.5 Analyzing the results and arriving at findings	9
2.3.6 Drawing conclusions and making recommendations	10
<b>3. DEVELOPMENT OF THE SHAPE MODEL</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1 Background of the model	11
3.2 The model: what it is, and how it works	11
3.2.1 What the model entails	12
3.2.2 How the model works	14
	vii

<b>4. LITERATURE STUDY</b>	16
4.1 Introduction	16
4.2 Application of the contingent valuation method in the sanitation field	17
4.3 Summary of key findings of the literature study	29
<b>5. ALTERNATIVE SANITATION OPTIONS FOR SOUTH AFRICA</b>	30
5.1 Alternative sanitation options	30
5.2 Ladder of sanitation options	30
5.3 Selection of sanitation options for this research study	41
<b>6. SURVEYS</b>	42
6.1 Introduction	42
6.2 Identification of survey sites	42
6.3 Survey method	43
6.4 Observations made during the surveys	45
6.5 Summary of important facts pertaining to the surveys	46
<b>7. CASE STUDIES</b>	49
7.1 Conducting the case studies	49
7.2 Responses from some of the case study participants	49
7.3 Results of the case studies	50
<b>8. ANALYSIS OF DATA AND FINDINGS</b>	51
8.1 Basic data	51
8.2 Findings	54
8.2.1 Correlation between income and services selected	55

8.2.2	Findings with regard to all services	57
8.2.3	Values of the inhabitants of the dense settlements	59
8.2.4	The need for prior assessment of practical alternatives	61
8.2.5	Four-pronged approach needed	61
8.2.6	Governance relationships with the community members of dense settlements	61
8.2.7	Top-down decision making in respect of sanitation systems	63
8.2.8	Critical Success Factors for implementing the SHAPE Model	63
8.2.9	A world first	64
<b>9.</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>65</b>
9.1	Effectiveness of the model	65
9.2	Pattern of sanitation demand	65
9.3	Value of the integrated method	66
9.4	Problem resolution by linear programming principles	66
9.5	Priorities	66
<b>10.</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>67</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>70</b>
	Publications	70
	Websites	73
	<b><u>APPENDICES:</u></b>	
A:	Schmidtsdrif Survey Questionnaire	75
B:	eThekwini Survey Questionnaire	77
C:	Ekurhuleni Survey Questionnaire	79
D:	Housing: demand for number of rooms	81
E:	Demand for stand sizes	82
F:	Demand for water services	83

G: Demand for road types	84
H: Demand for electricity	85
I: Demand for street lighting	86