

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY I

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS VII

LIST OF TABLES XIII

LIST OF FIGURES XVII

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS..... XXI

1 INTRODUCTION 1-1

1.1 BACKGROUND 1-1

 1.1.1 Effects of Catchment Land-Use Changes 1-1

 1.1.2 Overview of Streamflow Reduction in South Africa 1-2

 1.1.3 Streamflow Reduction Estimation Methods and Models 1-3

1.2 MOTIVATION FOR THE STUDY 1-3

1.3 OBJECTIVES AND PRIMARY TASKS..... 1-4

1.4 LAYOUT OF DOCUMENT 1-5

2 LITERATURE REVIEW 2-7

2.1 INTRODUCTION 2-7

2.2 FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH 2-9

 2.2.1 Long- term Catchment Afforestation Experiments..... 2-9

 2.2.2 Riparian Clearing Experiments 2-11

 2.2.3 Measurement of Evapotranspiration 2-12

2.3 PREDICTIVE RESEARCH 2-14

2.4 INTEGRATIVE TOOLS RESEARCH 2-14

2.5 MANAGEMENT SUPPORT RESEARCH 2-15

 2.5.1 Development of the Commercial Afforestation Tables (Gush
 Tables)..... 2-15

**2.6 EXAMPLES OF SFR-RELATED RESEARCH TOWARDS
 PREDICTIVE TOOLS, INTEGRATIVE TOOLS AND MANAGEMENT
 SUPPORT RESEARCH 2-15**

 2.6.1 The Impacts of SFR on Yield of Reservoirs and River Systems..... 2-15

3	STREAMFLOW REDUCTION ESTIMATION PROCEDURES INVESTIGATED IN THIS RESEARCH.....	3-20
3.1	INTRODUCTION	3-20
3.2	CATCHMENT MODELS USED IN THIS STUDY	3-20
3.2.1	SHELL.....	3-20
3.2.2	ACRU	3-23
3.3	EMPIRICAL SFR ESTIMATION METHODS USED IN THIS STUDY	3-24
3.3.1	Afforestation	3-24
3.3.2	Upland Invasive Alien Vegetation.....	3-26
3.3.3	Riparian Invasive Alien Vegetation – Existing Approaches	3-30
3.3.4	Riparian Invasive Alien Vegetation – Improvements to Existing Approaches.....	3-31
3.4	PHYSICALLY BASED METHODS.....	3-33
3.4.1	Upland Vegetation	3-33
3.4.2	Riparian Vegetation.....	3-33
3.5	COMMERCIAL AFFORESTATION TABLES (GUSH TABLES)	3-35
4	METHODOLOGY AND RESEARCH PROCESS.....	4-36
4.1	SELECTION OF STUDY RIVER SYSTEMS	4-36
4.2	RIVER SYSTEMS STUDIED	4-37
4.2.1	Upper Berg	4-38
4.2.2	Upper Sabie.....	4-41
4.2.3	Mhlatuze	4-44
4.3	ASSEMBLY OF MODEL INFORMATION AND COVERAGES	4-46
4.3.1	Assembly of Model Information and Coverages for the Upper Berg	4-46
4.3.2	Assembly of Model Information and Coverages for Upper Sabie	4-50
4.3.3	Assembly of Model Information and Coverages for Mhlatuze.....	4-51
4.4	CONFIGURATION OF CATCHMENT MODELS.....	4-53
4.4.1	Configuration of Catchment Models for the Upper Berg	4-53
4.4.2	Configuration of Catchment Models for the Upper Sabie	4-56
4.4.3	Configuration of Catchment Models for the Mhlatuze	4-58
4.4.4	Problems Encountered in Matching Rainfall Input for ACRU and SHELL.....	4-60

5	ADDRESSING SCALE AND RESOLUTION ASPECTS, AS WELL AS BIO-CLIMATIC VARIATION, RELATED TO STREAMFLOW REDUCTION ESTIMATION: UPGRADING, RECONCILIATION AND INTEGRATION	5-1
5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.2	RESULTS OF SHELL CALIBRATION AND ACRU VERIFICATION	5-1
5.2.1	Upper Berg	5-1
5.2.2	Upper Sabie.....	5-6
5.2.3	Mhlatuze	5-12
5.2.4	Conclusion about the Performance of the Two Models.....	5-16
5.3	COMPARISON OF SFR-RELATED MODEL OUTPUTS WITH EXPECTED VALUES	5-16
5.3.1	Comparison with Measured Riparian Evapotranspiration.....	5-16
5.3.2	Comparison with Measured Upland SFR	5-18
5.3.3	Comparison with Commercial Afforestation SFR Tables used by DWAF ("Gush Tables").....	5-23
5.4	DESCRIPTION OF SCENARIOS MODELLED	5-31
5.4.1	Baseline Scenario.....	5-32
5.4.2	Current Scenario.....	5-33
5.4.3	Current Scenario with Forestry Cleared.....	5-33
5.4.4	Current Scenario with IAPs Cleared.....	5-33
5.4.5	Upland Alien Invasion Scenarios.....	5-33
5.4.6	Riparian Alien Plant Invasion Scenarios.....	5-33
5.4.7	Commercial Afforestation Scenarios	5-33
5.5	COMPARISON OF BASELINE MAR AND FLOW PRODUCED BY THE MODELS	5-33
5.6	STREAMFLOW REDUCTION ESTIMATES PRODUCED BY ACRU.....	5-39
5.6.1	Mhlatuze	5-39
5.6.2	Upper Berg	5-57
5.6.3	Upper Sabie.....	5-62
5.7	SFR ESTIMATES PRODUCED BY SHELL.....	5-66
5.7.1	Mhlatuze	5-67
5.7.2	Upper Berg	5-83
5.7.3	Upper Sabie.....	5-89
5.8	BIO-CLIMATIC VARIATION	5-94

5.8.1	ACRU Modelling.....	5-94
5.8.2	SHELL Modelling	5-97
5.9	SUMMARY OF UPGRADING, RECONCILIATION AND INTEGRATION OF STREAMFLOW REDUCTION ESTIMATION PROCEDURES	5-100
5.9.1	Calibration, Verification and Simulated Natural Flows	5-100
5.9.2	Comparisons of Model output with Observed Data	5-100
5.9.3	Comparison of Model Output with Commercial Afforestation SFR Tables used by DWAF ("Gush Tables")	5-101
5.9.4	Assessment of SFR Produced by ACRU and SHELL.....	5-101
5.10	CONCLUSIONS	5-103
5.10.1	Comparison of Flows Simulated by ACRU and SHELL	5-103
5.10.2	Comparisons of Model Output with Observed Data	5-104
5.10.3	Comparison of Model Output with Commercial Afforestation SFR Tables used by DWAF ("Gush Tables")	5-104
5.10.4	Comparison of SFR Produced by ACRU and SHELL	5-104
5.10.5	Predictability of SFR Produced by ACRU and SHELL	5-104
6	AN ASSESSMENT OF STREAMFLOW REDUCTION IMPACTS ON YIELD-RELIABILITY CHARACTERISTICS	6-1
6.1	INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2	RIVER SYSTEM MODEL USED IN THIS PROJECT.....	6-1
6.3	SYSTEM MODELLING	6-2
6.3.1	Upper Berg	6-2
6.3.2	Upper Sabie.....	6-3
6.3.3	Mhlatuze	6-3
6.4	THE QUANTIFICATION OF SFR IMPACTS ON YIELD RELIABILITY CHARACTERISTICS	6-3
6.4.1	Mhlatuze	6-3
6.4.2	Upper Berg	6-20
6.4.3	Upper Sabie.....	6-24
6.5	SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RATIOS OF REDUCTION IN YIELD TO REDUCTION IN MAR.....	6-29

7	GUIDELINES FOR THE TREATMENT OF STREAMFLOW REDUCTION IMPACTS DUE TO AFFORESTATION AND INVASIVE ALIEN VEGETATION AT NATIONAL AND CATCHMENT LEVELS IN WATER RESOURCE ANALYSIS.....	7-1
7.1	ORIENTATION	7-1
7.2	GUIDELINES.....	7-1
8	CONCLUSIONS	8-1
9	RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9-1
10	REFERENCES	10-1

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: LAND COVER INPUT PARAMETERS USED IN THE ACRU CONFIGURATIONS