

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
INTRODUCTION	1
AIMS AND HOW THE PROJECT ADDRESSED THE AIMS	2
LITERATURE REVIEW	4
GENERAL METHODS	21
Site selection	22
Diatom collection and processing	22
Diatom identification and enumeration	24
Water quality analyses	24
Data analyses	25
Diatom-based calibration methods	26
RESULTS	28
Buffalo and Nahoon rivers: Epilithon	29
Swartkops River: Epipelon	30
Swartkops River: Epilithon	37
Comparison Olifants:Buffalo/Nahoon rivers	41
MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS	47
SEASONAL STUDY OF EPILITHIC AND EPILELIC DIATOMS ALONG A POLLUTION GRADIENT IN THE SWARTKOPS RIVER.	47
Introduction	47
Study area	47
Results	49
Physico-chemical conditions	50
Diatom species distribution	52
Diatom species distribution in relation to water quality variables	55
Epilithon	55
Epipelon	57
Weighted-averaging regression and calibration	60
Variability in observed and diatom inferred water quality assessment	62
Discussion	68
EPILITHIC AND EPIPELIC DIATOMS IN RELATION TO WATER QUALITY IN THE UPPER OLIFANTS RIVER, MPUMALANGA.	70
Introduction	70
Study area	70
Results	72
Species distribution	73
Epilithon	74
Epipelon	74
DCA	75
Water quality	76

Correlation between species distribution and water quality	76
Epilithon	79
Epipelon	81
Weighted-averaging regression and calibration	83
Discussion	88
EPILITHIC AND EPIPELIC DIATOMS IN RELATION TO WATER QUALITY IN RIVERS IN THE WESTERN CAPE.	90
Introduction	91
Study area	91
Eerste River	92
Palmiet River	93
Bot River	96
Brak Rivers	97
Keurbooms River	98
Water quality analyses	100
Results	101
Bot, Eerste and Palmiet Rivers	102
Epilithic diatom distribution	102
Epipelic diatom distribution	102
Water quality	104
Correlation between diatom distribution and water quality	105
Klein Brak, Groot Brak and Keurbooms Rivers	107
Epilithic diatom distribution	107
Epipelic diatom distribution	109
Water quality	110
Correlation between diatom distribution and water quality	111
Species diversity	114
Discussion	113
EPILITHIC AND EPIPELIC DIATOMS IN RELATION TO WATER QUALITY IN RIVERS IN THE EASTERN CAPE.	115
Introduction	115
Study Area	115
Gamtoos River	116
Sundays River	119
Buffalo River	119
Nahoon River	122
Results	123
Gamtoos and Sundays	123
Gamtoos and Sundays epilithon	123
Gamtoos and Sundays Rivers epipelon	124
DCA	125
Water Quality	126
Effect of water quality on diatom distribution	129
Buffalo and Nahoon Rivers	132
Buffalo and Nahoon epilithon	133

Water Quality	135
Effect of water quality on diatom distribution	135
Discussion	137
APPLICATION OF THE VAN DAM INDEX	138
Introduction	138
Results	138
Comparison of indices based on epilithon and epipelon.	138
Correlation of classes of indicator values	140
Correlation between indicator values and observed conditions	140
Discussion	143
GENERAL DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS	143
Water quality and analysis	143
Population ecology	144
Diatom taxonomy	145
Nomenclature	146
Epipellic taxa	147
Epilithic taxa	148
SA diatom information	149
Habitat specificity	149
Use of water quality data at the time of sampling	150
The suitability of diatoms as indicators of water quality	150
REFERENCES	154
APPENDIX A. PRIMARY DATA SPREADSHEET	
APPENDIX B. SHORTENED SCIENTIFIC NAMES OF THE DIATOM TAXA	
APPENDIX C. PLATES OF DIATOMS REFERRED TO IN THE TEXT	
APPENDIX D. SPREADSHEET OF THE GENUS DATABASE	
APPENDIX E. CD OF GENUS DATABASE + FULL REPORT	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Epilithic and epipellic samples taken from rivers in the Eastern and Western Cape and Mpumalanga, showing the number sites and number of taxa identified from each site.	28
Table 2. Water quality indicated by ACHNMINU for three sites from two rivers (Buffalo and Nahoon) in the Eastern Cape. Water quality units were mg.l ⁻¹ except for electrical conductivity (EC - mS.m ⁻¹), alkalinity (expressed as CaCO ₃ (mg.l ⁻¹) and pH.	30
Table 3. Water quality for NAVIGREG where it occurred in the epipelon of the Swartkops River as the dominant diatom (> 10% of total counts) for 21 sites.	31
Table 4. Water quality for NAVIGREG where it occurred in the epipelon of the Swartkops River at less than 10% of the total counts (n = 48).	32
Table 5. Comparison of the water quality indications for the epipellic diatom	