

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	UPSCALING HILLSLOPE PROCESS OBSERVATIONS	4
3.	WEATHERLEY RESEARCH CATCHMENT AND MOOI BASIN	7
4.	LOCAL TO CATCHMENT SCALE PROCESSES	11
4.1	Local Scale Processes	11
4.1.1	Midslope responses and simulation	11
4.1.2	Preferential flow	15
4.1.3	Response at the toe of the slope section	15
4.2	Hillslope Scale Processes	17
4.2.1	Hillslope 2D Simulations	17
4.2.1.1	Hillslope segments	17
4.2.1.2	Hydraulic characteristics of materials	19
4.2.1.3	Cumulative fluxes	21
4.2.2	Geophysical observations	24
4.3	Integrating Hillslope and Catchment Scales	29
4.3.1	Rainfall-runoff analysis	29
4.3.2	Groundwater distribution	30
4.3.3	Isotope observations	39
4.3.4	Other tracer observations	45
4.4	Summary of Component Contributions to Stream flow	47
5.	MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION	49
5.1	Historic Application of <i>ACRU</i> in Weatherley	49
5.2	Application of a Transfer Function Model in Weatherley	52
5.3	Proposed Model Algorithm	54
6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	57
7.	REFERENCES	59
8.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	62
	APPENDIX A: DWAF Geohydrological Report and Borehole Information	A1
	APPENDIX B: Soil Water Retention Characteristics LC1-LC4	B1
	APPENDIX C: HYDRUS2D Hillslope Simulations	C1
	APPENDIX D: Development of <i>ACRUSalinity</i> for Coal Mine Environments	D1
	APPENDIX E: Capacity Building Report	E1

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.	Title	Page
2.1	Shows the relationship between mean residence time of hillslope discharge and catchment area (a), median flow path length (b), median flow path gradient (c) and ratio of median flow path length and gradient (d), (McGuire <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	6
3.1	Layout of instrumentation in the Weatherley research catchment, northern Eastern Cape province.	7
3.2	Summary of climate variables for the Weatherley research catchment (Lorentz <i>et al.</i> , 2003).	8
3.3	Dominant mechanisms of discharge from the hillslopes at the Weatherley research catchment (Lorentz <i>et al.</i> , 2003).	9
3.4	Land use in the Mooi river catchment, showing the location of the DWAF weir in Maclear and the Weatherley subcatchment.	10
4.1	HYDRUS-2D finite element representation of the hillslope section at station LC2 in the lower catchment, showing the water content distribution in the finite element mesh.	12
4.2	Comparison of the HYDRUS-2D simulation with observed tensiometer measurements at station 2 on the upper slopes of the lower catchment.	13
4.3	Comparison of the HYDRUS-2D simulation with observed neutron water meter measurements at station 2 on the upper slopes of the lower catchment.	14
4.4	Schematic representation of the accumulation of soil water in the near surface as reflected in the near surface tensiometer responses, while slower accumulations are observed at the soil-bedrock interface.	15
4.5	Tensiometer response (250 mm and 1200 mm below surface) at station LC4 during the end of the wet season and through the dry season of 2001.	16
4.6	Low flow discharge in the downstream Weatherley weir (solid line) with the estimated contribution from the soil profile (circles).	17
4.7	The finite element mesh of transect LC1 to LC4 showing the position of observation nodes, corresponding to tensiometer positions in the hillslope segment. (The vertical exaggeration is 10x).	19
4.8	The water retention characteristic for station LC2 at 600 mm, showing the laboratory measured characteristic (dots) as well as the theoretical characteristic used in the HYDRUS-2D model (line).	20

List of Figures (contd)

Fig.	Title	Page
4.9	Soil water fluxes and changes in water contents in the hillslope segment LC1-LC4.	23
4.10	Soil water fluxes and changes in water volume in the hillslope segment LC5-LC7.	23
4.11	Soil water fluxes and changes in water volume in the hillslope segment LC8-LC10.	24
4.12	2D resistivity transect (2 m spacing) through stations 1-4 in the lower catchment (February 2004) showing the borehole log and water levels for November 2004.	25
4.13	2D resistivity transect (5 m spacing) through stations 1-4 in the lower catchment (February 2004) showing the borehole log and water levels for November 2004.	26
4.14	Comparison of resistivity and volumetric water content, measured with the TDR in the upper 300 mm along transect LC1 to LC4, shown against distance from the toe of the slope segment near LC4.	26
4.15	2D resistivity transect (5 m spacing) through stations 1-4 in the lower catchment (February 2004) showing the borehole log and water levels for November 2004.	28
4.16	Location of the boreholes in the Weatherley research catchment.	31
4.17	Time series of groundwater depth below surface in the riparian boreholes for the period July 2005 to April 2007.	32
4.18	Time series of groundwater depth below surface in the hillslope boreholes for the period July 2005 to April 2007.	33
4.19	West-East cross section of the geology and groundwater through the lower catchment.	34
4.20	West-East cross section of the geology and groundwater through the upper catchment.	35
4.21	Time series of the perched groundwater depths in transect LC1 to LC4 for the period July 2005 to May 2007.	36
4.22	Time series of the perched groundwater depths in transect LC5 to LC7 for the period July 2005 to May 2007.	37
4.23	Time series of the perched groundwater depths in transect LC5 to LC7 for the period July 2005 to May 2007.	38

List of Figures (contd)

Fig.	Title	Page
4.24	Conceptual primary forcings on isotope compositions of rainfall and runoff, (after Aggarwal <i>et al.</i> , 2002).	39
4.25	Rainfall isotopes collected from the Weatherley catchment during December 2002 and January 2003.	40
4.26	Natural isotope concentrations for different components of runoff in the Weatherley catchment during December 2002 and January 2003. (The rainfall data are shown in light blue and light red).	42
4.27	Isotope data during a wet period (January 2003) in the Weatherley catchment.	43
4.28	Isotope samples taken in a wet period (February 2004) in the Weatherley catchment (Wenninger <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	44
4.29	Isotope data during a dry period in the Weatherley catchment (August 2004).	45
4.30	Isotope, Silica, chloride and EC of samples collected during February 2000 (Wenninger <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	46
5.1	Schematic subcatchment streamflow generation zones and pathways simulated in the topological version of ACURU. (van Zyl and Lorentz, 2004).	49
5.2	Topological ACURU, hydrological response units in the Weatherley subcatchment including rapid near surface lateral response zones (yellow), grassland (orthophoto grey), rock outcrop (grey) and wetland (green) (After van Zyl and Lorentz, 2004).	50
5.3	Results of the ACURU simulation at station 1 (400 mm) in the Weatherley catchment.	51
5.4	Results of the ACURU simulation of runoff at the lower weir in the Weatherley catchment.	51
5.5	Schematic of soil/bedrock layered system showing increasing volume accumulation in the intermediate layer.	55
5.6	Schematic of the land segment linkage structure in the model.	56

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
2.1	Summary of response times for soil, shallow perched groundwater and groundwater for selected catchments.	5
3.1	Summary of streamflow generation mechanisms and their occurrence, Weatherley research catchment (after Lorentz <i>et al.</i> , 2003).	9
4.1	Summary of profiles in simulated transects.	18
4.2	Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient (r) and level of significance (p) for rainfall and runoff characteristics of 16 events during the hydrological year 2004. (After Wenninger <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	30
4.3	Summary of rainfall patterns during the isotope monitoring period, December 2002 and January 2003 at Weatherley.	41