

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	vi
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	x
DEFINITION/EXPLANATIONS OF TERMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS .....	xiv
Section 1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background to the Study.....	1
1.2 Objectives of the project.....	2
Section 2. <sup>14</sup> C simulation method .....	3
2.1 Use of <sup>14</sup> C as a natural tracer .....	3
2.2 Estimation of recharge using environmental tracers in relation to other methods .....	4
Section 3. <sup>14</sup> C simulation model .....	7
3.1. Conceptual model .....	7
3.2 Validation of the recharge estimations.....	8
Section 4. Rationale and structure of the <sup>14</sup> C simulation model .....	10
4.1 <sup>14</sup> C model .....	10
4.2 Implementation of <sup>14</sup> C model.....	11
4.3 Application of the model .....	12
Section 5. <sup>14</sup> C simulations of different springs .....	14
5.1 General.....	14
5.2 Bo Molopo area – NW Dolomite.....	15
5.3 Springs in the Marico-Schoonspruit dolomite area.....	19
5.4 Springs in the Pretoria Dolomitic Area.....	21
5.5 Springs in the West Rand dolomite.....	23
5.6 Springs of the Ghaap Dolomite (see Figure 10).....	25
Section 6. Simulations using tritium as environmental tracer.....	29
6.1 Background.....	29
6.2 Tritium simulations.....	30
Section 7. Use of CFC as environmental tracer .....	32
7.1 Previous studies .....	32
7.2 CFC results .....	32
Section 8. Application of <sup>14</sup> C model to simulate groundwater quality .....	34
8.1 General.....	34
8.2 Water quality variations of dolomitic springs.....	36
8.3 Natural variation of chloride concentrations of dolomitic springs.....	38
8.4 Bicarbonate concentrations.....	38
Section 9. Estimating the average turnover time and age of the water in dolomitic aquifers from the <sup>14</sup> C modelling. .	52
9.1 Incorporation and explanation of lag times in the <sup>14</sup> C model.....	52
9.2 Turn-over time (mean residence time) and age of water in the aquifer .....	53
Section 10. Estimating the depth of a dolomitic aquifer.....	54
Section 11. Deriving a simple recharge-rainfall relationship from the <sup>14</sup> C simulations.....	56
Section 12. Validation of the <sup>14</sup> C model .....	58
12.1 The Excel model .....	58
12.2 Simulation of the Grootfontein aquifer (Molopo) by FEFLOW .....	59
Section 13. Discussion of the success of the project in relation to the objectives and application prospects .....	62
Section 14. Research results .....	64
Section 15. Recommendations.....	65
REFERENCES .....	67

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Locality of dolomitic springs of the Central, North-western and Eastern regions of the Republic of South Africa.....	4
Figure 2. The typical lithostratigraphical sequence of the Malmani dolomitic of the North West Region showing the different dolomitic formations and numerous observation boreholes.....	5
Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the bimodal recharge that controls the variable recharge and input of $^{14}\text{C}$ in the simulation model.....	7
Figure 4. An illustrative representation showing the correspondence between the water level in the Wondergat sinkhole (top curve) and the simulated recharge. The bottom curve shows the three-year running average of the rainfall (arbitrary units as three variables cannot be displayed on two y-axis). ....	9
Figure 5. Correlation between the spring-flow records (top curve) and the simulated recharge for Buffelshoek and Grootfontein (Pretoria) springs. The bottom curve shows the 36 month running average of the rainfall (in arbitrary units).....	9
Figure 6. Schematic diagram of the flow and mixing of groundwater through a section of aquifer, according to the conceptual model; the period 0 to i represents the shallow recharge to the spring and i to j the deep flow recharge component.....	10
Figure 7a. Response with a zero deep-flow.....	12
Figure 7b. Response with one multiple of deep flow.....	12
Figure 7c. Response with 2 multiples of deep flow.....	12
Figure 7d. Response with 3 multiples of deep flow.....	12
Figure 8. Locality of dolomitic springs in the northern part of the RSA. ....	21
Figure 9. Chloride vs bicarbonate for Pretoria Springs indicating that pollution has occurred. The reason for the sudden change in the water quality should be ascertained.....	23
Figure 10. Delineation of compartments in the Kuruman/Sishen dolomitic area sustaining the flows of major springs and abstraction.....	25
Figure 11. Comparison of the measured rates of abstraction and the simulated values, indicating that the measured abstraction lags the simulated rates by 30 months (see Table 9). ....	28
Figure 12. Water level response in the pumping area of the mine incorporating the abstraction over an effective area of 4 km <sup>2</sup> . For this simulation the specific yield of the aquifer is 0.052 to obtain the best fit. ....	29
Figure 13. Comparison of the input concentrations of $^{14}\text{C}$ and tritium in the rainfall.....	30
Figure 14. Sulphate concentrations of dolomitic springs indicating different degrees of pollution relative to the uncontaminated Maloney's eye. ....	35
Figure 15. Simulated pollution of Turffontein spring vs the measured concentrations. ....	36
Figure 16. Pollution of Renosterfontein eye according to the sulphate and chloride concentrations of the water, which responded similarly.....	37
Figure 17. Simulated chloride concentrations versus observed values and the reconstructed input concentrations for Renosterfontein. ....	38
Figure 18. Relationship between the bicarbonate concentrations and the $^{14}\text{C}$ factor used in the simulation model to derive the bicarbonate concentrations. ....	40
Figure 19. A plot of the simulated and measured bicarbonate concentrations of the various dolomitic springs, indicating a linear relationship.....	40

Figure 20. Relationship between the bicarbonate concentrations of the different dolomitic springs and the average recharge coefficients derived from the chloride method.....	41
Figure 21. Fluctuations of the chloride concentrations of Buffelshoek eye simulated according to the recharge parameters obtained from the best <sup>14</sup> C model based on the average rainfall over 36 months. ....	42
Figure 22. Variations of bicarbonate concentrations of Buffelshoek eye comparing the simulated and measured values in relation to the recharge inferred from the spring flow.....	42
Figure 23. Graph showing the variations of bicarbonate and chloride concentrations of Buffelshoek and Wonderfontein springs. The rising trend of the latter spring is an indication of increased agricultural activity.....	43
Figure 24. Chloride concentrations in relation to the annual rainfall indicating declining values as the sampled rainfall increases and the dry component decreases (one outlier point has been omitted for the relationship to be more in agreement with preliminary results indicating lower chloride at high rainfalls in the RSA dolomitic areas). ....	44
Figure 25. Simulated chloride concentrations of Buffelshoek spring in comparison to the measured values, which have yielded a reliable concentration of 0.56 mg/l for the rainwater based on a recharge area of 32 km <sup>2</sup> derived according to Figure 27. ....	45
Figure 26. Some prominent dolomitic compartments in the Northwest region and springs they recharge. As is indicated in Figure 27 the real area contributing to the spring flow is more complex.....	47
Figure 27. Drainage area that feeds the Buffelshoek spring, derived from particle tracking of a steady-state FEFLOW model of a large dolomitic area indicating a recharge area of 32.6 km <sup>2</sup> (figure by Van Rensburg, 2005). ....	48
Figure 28. Graph of the measured and simulated chloride values of Groot Kono eye. The monthly recharge has been derived for an area of 34 km <sup>2</sup> with an average chloride concentration of 0.40 for the rainfall. This area size falls within the preliminary delineation of the recharge area.....	48
Figure 29. The measured chloride concentrations of the Pretoria-Grootfontein eye in comparison to those simulated if the chloride concentration of the rainwater is 0.65 mg/l. The increasing trend is an indication that the spring has gradually been polluted (also see Figure 9).....	49
Figure 30. Exponential relationship between the monthly recharge derived from the <sup>14</sup> C simulation model and the average rainfall over the preceding 36 months without the incorporation of the threshold rainfalls.....	49
Figure 31. The monthly natural flows of Schoonspruit eye (mm/month) in relation to the average rainfall over 72 months for a recharge area of 842 km <sup>2</sup> as derived by Veltman (2003). ....	50
Figure 32. Simulated chloride values for Schoonspruit derived from the recharge coefficients of the monthly recharge that has been obtained from the relationship shown in Figure 31 for a recharge area of 842 km <sup>2</sup> (Veltman, 2003). This yielded a value of 0.63 mg/l for the best fit of the chloride of the rainfall in comparison to a value of 0.6 that have been measured by Veltman (2004). ....	50
Figure 33. Comparison of the simulated and measured values of the chloride concentrations of the Kuruman A spring, based on recharge from an area of 258 km <sup>2</sup> and a concentration of 0.47 mg/l for the chloride of the rainwater.....	51
Figure 34. The best correspondence between the simulated natural flow of Kuruman A spring and the measured <sup>14</sup> C. ....	52
Figure 35. Specific yield (S <sub>y</sub> ) versus depth of the NW dolomitic aquifer indicating a power function or an exponential decline in aquifer storage.....	55
Figure 36. The monthly recharge derived from the simulation of the flow of the Kuruman A spring by incorporating threshold rainfalls. These were correlated to the average rainfall over the preceding 48 months disregarding the threshold rainfall values.....	57

Figure 37. Best relationship for Sishen between the average rainfall over the preceding 12 months and the monthly recharge derived from the effective rainfall without incorporating the threshold rainfall. ....	57
Figure 38. The measured versus the <sup>14</sup> C values that have been simulated by an Excel analytical model (Groundwater Group of UWC). ....	58
Figure 39. The results of the simulations of <sup>14</sup> C of the Grootfontein aquifer (Molopo area) based on a FEFLOW hydrodynamic model (Van Rensburg, 2006) in comparison to the measured <sup>14</sup> C values. The fluctuating input of the tracer (expressed as recharge times the <sup>14</sup> C values) is shown by the green graph. ....	59
Figure 40. Best simulation of the FEFLOW hydrodynamic flow model for K and S <sub>y</sub> reducing by 50 % for each deeper-lying layer of the aquifer (Scenario 5). ....	61
Figure 41. Outcome of the FEFLOW simulation model according to Scenario 2 with both K and S <sub>y</sub> declining linearly with depth. ....	61