

Table of contents

1	Introduction.....	1
2	Aquifer vulnerability.....	1
3	Karst terrains.....	4
3.1	Origin of karst.....	4
3.2	Characteristics of karst aquifers.....	5
3.3	Groundwater flow in karst aquifers.....	9
4	Aquifer vulnerability mapping in South African.....	9
5	The European approach to aquifer vulnerability mapping.....	14
5.1	The European groundwater vulnerability concept.....	15
5.1.1	Introduction.....	15
5.1.2	The origin-pathway-target model.....	16
5.1.3	Groundwater vulnerability in karst areas.....	17
5.2	Intrinsic vulnerability.....	17
5.2.1	Basic concepts of the European Approach.....	17
5.3	Specific vulnerability.....	19
5.4	Hazard mapping.....	19
5.5	Risk assessment.....	20
5.5.1	Introduction.....	20
5.5.2	Risk assessment concept.....	21
5.5.3	Risk mapping.....	22
5.6	Data requirements.....	22
5.7	Demonstrating the reliability of maps.....	23
5.8	Vulnerability mapping methods.....	23
5.8.1	The PI method.....	23
5.8.2	The VULK method.....	24
5.8.3	Localised European Approach (LEA).....	25
5.8.4	The COP method.....	26
5.8.5	The Time-Input method.....	27
5.9	Comparative application of the PI and COP methods.....	28
5.9.1	Introduction.....	28
5.9.2	PI method.....	29
5.9.3	COP method.....	29
5.9.4	Comparison between PI and COP vulnerability maps.....	30
5.9.5	Hazard mapping.....	31
5.9.6	Risk mapping.....	32
5.9.7	Conclusions.....	32
6	Other vulnerability mapping methods.....	33
6.1	DRASTIC method.....	33
6.2	German method.....	34
7	VUKA mapping guidelines.....	35
7.1	Development of the applied methodology.....	35
7.2	Overlying layers map.....	35
7.2.1	O_S sub-score.....	37
7.2.2	O_L sub-score.....	39
7.2.3	O score.....	42
7.3	Precipitation map.....	43
7.3.1	Pq sub-factor.....	43

7.3.2	<i>P_i</i> sub-factor.....	45
7.3.3	P score.....	45
7.4	Concentration of flow map.....	46
7.4.1	Scenario 1.....	47
7.4.2	Scenario 2.....	53
7.4.3	C score.....	55
7.5	Final vulnerability map.....	56
7.6	Modifications to original COP method.....	56
7.6.1	O factor.....	57
7.6.2	P factor.....	58
7.6.3	C factor.....	58
7.7	Utilization of aquifer vulnerability maps.....	59
8	Aquifer Vulnerability map of the Cradle of Humankind.....	60
8.1	Geological setting.....	60
8.1.1	General geology.....	60
8.1.2	Detailed geological description of Chuniespoort Group.....	64
8.2	Overlying layers map.....	66
8.2.1	Soil sub-score (<i>O_S</i>) map.....	66
8.2.2	Lithology sub-score (<i>O_L</i>) map.....	70
8.2.3	Overlying layers (O) map.....	72
8.3	Precipitation (<i>P</i>) map.....	73
8.4	Concentration of flow map.....	75
8.4.1	Distance to swallow hole (<i>dh</i>) map (Scenario 1 areas only).....	75
8.4.2	Slope and vegetation (<i>sv</i>) map (Scenario 1 and 2 areas).....	76
8.4.3	Distance to sinking stream (<i>ds</i>) map (Scenario 1 areas only).....	76
8.4.4	Karst development/surface layers (<i>sf</i>) map (Scenario 2 areas only).....	77
8.4.5	Concentration of flow (<i>C</i>) map.....	77
8.5	Final vulnerability map.....	78
9	Recommendations for future research.....	80
9.1	Integration with dolomite risk mapping methodologies.....	80
9.2	Validation of maps.....	81
9.3	Hazard and risk mapping.....	81
10	References.....	83

List of figures

Figure 1	Conceptual model of a karst aquifer (Gunn, 1986).....	10
Figure 2	PI Vulnerability map of the Sierra de Libar (Brechenmacher, 2002 in Andreo et al., 2003:186).	30
Figure 3	COP Vulnerability map of the Sierra de Libar (Andreo et al., 2003:188).....	31
Figure 4	Risk map of the Sierra de Libar (Andreo et al., 2003).....	33
Figure 5	Diagram of the modified COP method (VUKA) showing the differentiation of the C, O and P factors.....	36
Figure 6	Example of a Land Type Inventory (MacVicar, 1984).....	39
Figure 7	Consideration of different lithologies in the layer index calculation.....	42
Figure 8	Example of an overlying layer (O) factor map.	43
Figure 9	Example of a Precipitation (P) factor map.....	46
Figure 10	Examples of swallow holes as seen in aerial photographs. A: Clearly visible feature. B: Feature hidden by dense vegetation, C: New feature not clearly visible on an aerial photograph.	48
Figure 11	A doline clearly visible in an aerial photograph which acts not as a concentrated recharge point.	49
Figure 12	Examples of a swallow hole with (A) and without (B) sediment accumulation.	50
Figure 13	Schematic diagram of a typical karst setting where a swallow hole is blocked with sediment but still linked to the groundwater underneath the sediments (adapted from Trollip, 2006).....	51
Figure 14	Vegetation cover density determined by aerial photograph interpretation.....	52
Figure 15	Extrapolation of surface karst development using aerial photograph interpretation.	54
Figure 16	Karstic rock areas with different levels of surface karst development: A: low (<i>fissured carbonate</i>), B: medium (<i>dissolution features</i>) or C: high (<i>developed</i>).....	55
Figure 17	Example of a concentration of flow (C) factor map.	56
Figure 18	Example of an aquifer vulnerability map.....	57
Figure 19	Geological map of COHWHS (Obbes, 2000 and own mapping).	60
Figure 20	Geological map illustrating the distribution of the Transvaal Supergroup (Eriksson & Reczko, 1995).....	62
Figure 21	Soil (O_s) sub-score map for the COHWHS.	67
Figure 22	Lithology (O_L) sub-score map for the COHWHS.....	71
Figure 23	Overlying layer (O) factor map for the COHWHS.....	73
Figure 24	Precipitation (P) factor map for the COHWHS.	74
Figure 25	Distance to swallow hole (dh) sub-factor map for the COHWHS.....	75
Figure 26	Slope and vegetation (sv) sub-factor map for the COHWHS.	76
Figure 27	Karst development / surface layers (sf) sub-factor map for the COHWHS.....	77
Figure 28	Concentration of flow (C) factor map for the COHWHS.....	78
Figure 29	Aquifer vulnerability map for the COHWHS.....	80